

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Reorganized Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, Darlene Calderon, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am employed by Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, the Court appointed claims and noticing agent for the Reorganized Debtors in the above-captioned cases.

On April 14, 2011, I caused to be served the documents listed below (i) upon the parties listed on Exhibit A hereto via electronic notification, and (ii) upon the party listed on Exhibit B hereto via postage pre-paid U.S. mail:

- 1) Notice of Adjournment of Claims Objection Hearing with Respect to Debtors' Objection to Proof of Claim Number 1301 Filed by Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation ("Notice of Adjournment of Claims Objection Hearing as to Proof of Claim Number 1301") (Docket No. 21207) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit C]
- 2) Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Motion of Tal-Port Industries, LLC for Allowance of an Administrative Claim Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1)(A) and, in the Alternative, for Leave to File a Late Administrative Expense Claim Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b) ("Objection to Tal-Port Industries, LLC's Motion to File Late Claim") (Docket No. 21208) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D]

On April 14, 2011, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit E hereto via overnight mail:

- 3) Notice of Adjournment of Claims Objection Hearing with Respect to Debtors' Objection to Proof of Claim Number 1301 Filed by Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation ("Notice of Adjournment of Claims Objection Hearing as to Proof of Claim Number 1301") (Docket No. 21207) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit C]

On April 14, 2011, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit F hereto via overnight mail:

- 4) Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Motion of Tal-Port Industries, LLC for Allowance of an Administrative Claim Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1)(A) and, in the Alternative, for Leave to File a Late Administrative Expense Claim Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b) ("Objection to Tal-Port Industries, LLC's Motion to File Late Claim") (Docket No. 21208) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D]

Dated: April 18, 2011

/s/ Darlene Calderon

Darlene Calderon

State of California
County of Los Angeles

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 18th day of April, 2011, by Darlene Calderon, proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.

Signature: /s/ Michelle Cruz

Commission Expires: 1/2/14

EXHIBIT A

Post-Emergence Master Service List

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Deborah L. Thorne Kathleen L. Matsoukas	One N Wacker Drive	Suite 4400	Chicago	IL	60606	312-357-1313	dthorne@btlaw.com kmatsoukas@btlaw.com	Counsel to Johnson Controls Battery Group, Inc.; Johnson Controls, Inc. (Power Solutions)
Delphi Automotive Systems LLP	Sean Corcoran Karen Craft David M. Sherbin	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2000	sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com karen.i.craft@delphi.com david.sherbin@delphi.com	Delphi Automotive Systems LLP
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Frank L. Gorman, Esq. Robert B. Weiss, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	fgorman@honigman.com rweiss@honigman.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Ruskin Moscou Faltischek PC	Jeffrey A. Wurst, Esq.	1425 RXR Plaza	15th Floor	Uniondale	NY	11556	516-663-6535	jwurst@rmfpc.com	
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	Ron E. Meisler	155 N Wacker Drive	Suite 2700	Chicago	IL	60606-1720	312-407-0700	rmeisler@skadden.com	Counsel to the Reorganized Debtor
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Harvey R. Miller Robert J. Lemons	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8500	harvey.miller@weil.com robert.lemons@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Adalberto Cañadas Castillo		Avda Ramon de Carranza	10-1º	Cadiz		11006	Spain	34 956 226 311	adalberto@canadas.com	Representative to DASE
Adler Pollock & Sheehan PC	Joseph Avanzato	One Citizens Plz 8th Fl		Providence	RI	02903		401-274-7200	javanzato@apslaw.com	Attorneys for Fry's Metals Inc. and Specialty Coatings Systems Eft
Airgas, Inc.	David Boyle	259 Radnor-Chester Road, Suite 100	P.O. Box 6675	Radnor	PA	19087-8675		610-902-6028	david.boyle@airgas.com	Counsel to Airgas, Inc.
Akebono Brake Corporaton	Brandon J. Kessinger	310 Ring Road		Elizabethtown	KY	42701		270-234-5580	bkessinger@akebono-usa.com	Representative for Akebono Corporation
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP	Christina M. Padien	2029 Centure Park East	Suite 2400	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-229-1000	cpadien@akingump.com	Counsel to Wamco, Inc.
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP	Ira S Dizengoff	One Bryant Park		New York	NY	10036		212-872-1000	idezengoff@akingump.com	Counsel to TAI Unsecured Creditors Liquidating Trust
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP	Michael S. Greger	1900 Main Street	Fifth Floor	Irvine	CA	92614-7321		949-553-1313	mgreger@allenmatkins.com	Counsel to Kilroy Realty, L.P.
Alliance for Sustainable Energy LLC	National Renewable Energy Laboratory	Jim Martin Senior Attorney	1617 Golden Blvd MS 1734	Golden	CO	80401		303-384-7497	jim.martin@nrel.gov	Counsel for National Renewable Energy Laboratory
Alston & Bird, LLP	Craig E. Freeman	90 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10016		212-210-9400	craig.freeman@alston.com	Counsel to Cadence Innovation, LLC
Alston & Bird, LLP	Dennis J. Connolly; David A. Wender	1201 West Peachtree Street		Atlanta	GA	30309		404-881-7269	dconnolly@alston.com dwender@alston.com	Counsel to Cadence Innovation, LLC, PD George Co, Furukawa Electric Companay, Ltd., and Furukawa Electric North America APD, Inc.
American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.	Steven R. Keyes	One Dauch Drive, Mail Code 6E-2-42		Detroit	MI	48243		313-758-4868	steven.keyes@aam.com	Representative for American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.
Anglin, Flewelling, Rasmussen, Campbell & Trytten, LLP	Mark T. Flewelling	199 South Los Robles Avenue	Suite 600	Pasadena	CA	91101-2459		626-535-1900	mtf@afrc.com	Counsel to Stanley Electric Sales of America, Inc.
Arent Fox PLLC	Robert M. Hirsh	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019		212-484-3900	Hirsh.Robert@arentfox.com	Counsel to Pullman Bank and Trust Company
Arnall Golden Gregory LLP	Darryl S. Laddin	171 17th Street NW	Suite 2100	Atlanta	GA	30363-1031		404-873-8120	dladdin@agg.com	Counsel to Daishinku (America) Corp. d/b/a KDS America ("Daishinku"), SBC Telecommunications, Inc. (SBC)
Arnold & Porter LLP	Joel M. Gross	555 Twelfth Street, N.W.		Washington	D.C.	20004-1206		202-942-5000	joel_gross@aporter.com	Counsel to CSX Transportation, Inc.
ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc.	Carl Galloway	250 Royal Oak Road		Cambridge	Ontario	N3H 4R6	Canada	519-653-4483	cgalloway@atsautomation.com	Company
Balch & Bingham LLP	Eric T. Ray	PO Box 306		Birmingham	AL	35201		205-251-8100	eray@balch.com	Attorney for Alabama Power Company
Barack, Ferrazzano, Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP	Kimberly J. Robinson	200 W Madison St Ste 3900		Chicago	IL	60606		312-984-3100	kim.robinson@bfkn.com	Counsel to Motion Industries, Inc., EIS, Inc. and Johnson Industries, Inc.
Barack, Ferrazzano, Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP	William J. Barrett	200 W Madison St Ste 3900		Chicago	IL	60606		312-984-3100	william.barrett@bfkn.com	Counsel to Motion Industries, Inc., EIS, Inc. and Johnson Industries, Inc.
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Alan K. Mills	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	alan.mills@btlaw.com	Counsel to Mays Chemical Company
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Damon R Leichty	600 1st Source Bank Center	100 North Michigan	South Bend	IN	46601		574-233-1171	damon.leichty@btlaw.com	Counsel to Bank of America, N.A.
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	David M. Powlen	1000 N West Street	Suite 1200	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-888-4536	david.powlen@btlaw.com	Counsel to Howard County, Indiana

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Deborah L. Thorne	One North Wacker Drive	Suite 4400	Chicago	IL	60606		312-357-1313	deborah.thorne@btlaw.com	Counsel to Johnson Controls Battery Group, Inc.; Johnson Controls, Inc. (Power Solutions)
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	John T. Gregg	171 Monroe Avenue NW	Suite 1000	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-742-3930	jgregg@btlaw.com	Counsel to Priority Health; Clarion Corporation of America; Continental AG and Affiliates
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Kathleen L. Matsoukas	One North Wacker Drive	Suite 4400	Chicago	IL	60606		312-357-1313	kathleen.matsoukas@btlaw.com	Counsel to Johnson Controls Battery Group, Inc.; Johnson Controls, Inc. (Power Solutions); Howard County, Indiana
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Mark R. Owens	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	mark.owens@btlaw.com	Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Michael K. McCrory	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	michael.mccrory@btlaw.com	Counsel to Gibbs Die Casting Corporation; Clarion Corporation of America
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Patrick E. Mears	171 Monroe Avenue NW	Suite 1000	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-742-3936	pmears@btlaw.com	Counsel to Armada Rubber Manufacturing Company, Bank of America Leasing & Leasing & Capital, LLC, & AutoCam Corporation
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Sarah Quinn Kuhny	600 1st Source Bank Center	100 North Michigan	South Bend	IN	46601		574-233-1171	sarah.kuhny@btlaw.com	Counsel to Bank of America, N.A.
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Wendy D. Brewer	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	wendy.brewer@btlaw.com	Counsel to Gibbs Die Casting Corporation
Bartlett Hackett Feinberg P.C.	Frank F. McGinn	155 Federal Street	9th Floor	Boston	MA	02110		617-422-0200	ffm@bostonbusinesslaw.com	Counsel to Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc.
Beeman Law Office	Thomas M Beeman	33 West 10th Street	Suite 200	Anderson	IN	46016		765-640-1330	tom@beemanlawoffice.com	Counsel to Madison County (Indiana) Treasurer
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman	Hannah E. Greenwald	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019		212-554-1411	hannah@blbglaw.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Berry Moorman P.C.	James P. Murphy	535 Griswold	Suite 1900	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-1200	murph@berrymoorman.com	Counsel to Kamax L.P.; Optrex America, Inc.; GKN Sinter Metals, Inc.
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Kenneth T. Law, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	klaw@bbslaw.com	Counsel to UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc.
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Lawrence M. Schwab, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	lschwab@bbslaw.com	Counsel to UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc.; Solectron Corporation; Solectron De Mexico SA de CV; Solectron Invotronics; Coherent, Inc.; Veritas Software Corporation
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Thomas M. Gaa	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	tgaa@bbslaw.com	Counsel to Veritas Software Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Bingham McCutchen LLP	Kate K Simon	One State Street		Hartford	CT	06103		860-240-2700	kate.simon@bingham.com	Counsel to Sumitomo Corporation and Sumitomo Corp. of America
Bingham McHale LLP	Whitney L Mosby	10 West Market Street	Suite 2700	Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-635-8900	wmosby@binghammchale.com	Counsel to Universal Tool & Engineering co., Inc. and M.G. Corporation
Blank Rome LLP	Marc E. Richards	The Chrysler Building	405 Lexington Avenue	New York	NY	10174		212-885-5000	mrichards@blankrome.com	Counsel to DENSO International America, Inc.
Bodman LLP	Ralph E. McDowell	100 Renaissance Center	34th Floor	Detroit	MI	48243		313-393-7592	rmcdowell@bodmanllp.com	Counsel to Freudenberg-NOK; General Partnership; Freudenberg-NOK, Inc.; Flextech, Inc.; Vibracoustic de Mexico, S.A. de C.V.; Lear Corporation; American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Camille W. Hill	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	chill@bsk.com	Counsel to Marquardt GmbH and Marquardt Switches, Inc.; Tessy Plastics Corp.
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Charles J. Sullivan	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	csullivan@bsk.com	Counsel to Diemolding Corporation
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Stephen A. Donato	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	sdonato@bsk.com	Counsel to Marquardt GmbH and Marquardt Switches, Inc.; Tessy Plastics Corp; Diemolding Corporation
Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC	Austin L. McMullen	1600 Division Street, Suite 700	PO Box 34005	Nashville	TN	37203		615-252-2307	amcmullen@bccb.com	Counsel to Calsonic Kansei North America, Inc.; Calsonic Harrison Co., Ltd.
Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC	Roger G. Jones	1600 Division Street, Suite 700	PO Box 34005	Nashville	TN	37203		615-252-2307	rjones@bccb.com	Counsel to Calsonic Kansei North America, Inc.; Calsonic Harrison Co., Ltd.
Brembo S.p.A.	Massimiliano Cini	Administration Department via Brembo 25	24035 Curno BG	Bergamo			Italy	00039-035-605-529	massimiliano_cini@brembo.it	Creditor
Brown & Connery, LLP	Donald K. Ludman	6 North Broad Street		Woodbury	NJ	08096		856-812-8900	dludman@brownconnery.com	Counsel to SAP America, Inc.
Buchalter Nemer, A Profesional Corporation	Shawn M. Christianson	333 Market Street	25th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94105-2126		415-227-0900	schristianson@buchalter.com	Counsel to Oracle USA, Inc.; Oracle Credit Corporation
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC	Mark Pfeiffer	50 S. 16th St Ste 3200		Philadelphia	PA	19102		215-665-8700	mark.pfeiffer@bipc.com	Counsel to ATEL Leasing Corp.
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC	Mary Caloway	The Brandywine Building	1000 West Street, Suite 1410	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-552-4200	mary.caloway@bipc.com	Counsel to Fiduciary Counselors
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC	Peter S. Russ	620 Eighth Ave	23rd Floor	New York	NY	10018		212-440-4400	peter.russ@bipc.com	Counsel to ATEL Leasing Corp.
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC	William H. Schorling, Esq.	Two Liberty Place	50 S. 16th St., Ste 3200	Philadelphia	PA	19102		215-665-5326	william.schorling@bipc.com	Counsel to Fiduciary Counselors
Butzel Long	Cynthia J. Haffey	150 W. Jefferson	Suite 100	Detroit	MI	48226		313-983-7434	haffey@butzel.com	Counsel to Delphi Corporation
Butzel Long	Donald V. Orlandoni	150 W. Jefferson	Suite 100	Detroit	MI	48226		313-225-7063	orlandoni@butzel.com	Counsel to Delphi Corporation
Cadwalader Wickersham & Taft LLP	Jeannine D'Amico	1201 F St NW Ste 1100		Washington	DC	20004		202-862-2452	jeannine.damico@cw.com	Attorneys for the Audit Committee of Dephi Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Cadwalader Wickersham & Taft LLP	John J. Rapisardi Esq Joseph Zujkowski Esq	One World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-504-6000	john.rapisardi@cwtf.com joseph.zujkowski@cwtf.com jonathan.greenberg@BASF.COM	Counsel to the Auto Task Force of the U.S. Department of the Treasury
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP	Jonathan Greenberg	80 Pine Street		New York	NY	10005		212-701-3000		Counsel to Engelhard Corporation
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP	Kevin Burke	80 Pine Street		New York	NY	10005		212-701-3000	kburke@cahill.com	Counsel to Engelhard Corporation
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLC	Jean R. Robertson, Esq.	1400 McDonald Investment Ctr	800 Superior Ave	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-622-8404	jrobertson@calfee.com	Counsel to Brush Engineered materials
Calinoff & Katz, LLP	Dorothy H. Marinis-Riggio Robert Calinoff	140 East 45th Street	17th Floor	New York	NY	10017		212-826-8800	dhriaggio@gmail.com rcalinoff@candklaw.com	Counsel to Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Aluminum Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, I
Cantor Colburn LLP	Michael J Rye	20 Church Street	22nd Floor	Hartford	CT	06103-3207		860-286-2929	mrye@cantorcolburn.com	Patent Counsel to Delphi Corporation et al., Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession
Carson Fischer, P.L.C.	Joseph M Fischer Patrick J Kukla	4111 Andover Road	West 2nd Floor	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48302		248-644-4840	brcy@carsonfischer.com	Counsel to Bing Metals Group, LLC; Behr America, Inc.; Findlay Industries; Vitac, LLC
Carson Fischer, P.L.C.	Robert A. Weisberg	4111 Andover Road	West 2nd Floor	Birmingham	MI	48302		248-644-4840	rweisberg@carsonfischer.com brcy@carsonfischer.com	Counsel to Cascade Die Casting Group, Inc.; Behr America, Inc.
Carter Ledyard & Milburn LLP	Aaron R. Cahn	2 Wall Street		New York	NY	10005		212-732-3200	cahn@clm.com	Counsel to STMicroelectronics, Inc.
Chadbourne & Parke LLP	Douglas Deutsch, Esq.	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-408-5100	ddeutsch@chadbourne.com	Counsel to EagleRock Capital Management, LLC
Clark Hill PLC	Joel D. Applebaum	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8300	japplebaum@clarkhill.com	Counsel to 1st Choice Heating & Cooling, Inc.; BorgWarner Turbo Systems Inc.; Metaldyne Company, LLC
Clark Hill PLC	Shannon Deeby	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8300	sdeeby@clarkhill.com	Counsel to BorgWarner Turbo Systems Inc.; Metaldyne Company, LLC
Clark Hill PLLC	Robert D. Gordon	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8572	rgordon@clarkhill.com	Counsel to ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc.
Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP	Deborah M. Buell	One Liberty Plaza		New York	NY	10006		212-225-2000	maofiling@cgsh.com	Counsel to Arneses Electricos Automotrices, S.A.de C.V.; Cordaflex, S.A. de C.V.
Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton LLP	James L. Bromley	One Liberty Plaza		New York	NY	10006		212-225-2000	maofiling@cgsh.com	Counsel to Bear, Stearns, Co. Inc.; Citigroup, Inc.; Credit Suisse First Boston; Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.; Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.; JP Morgan Chase & Co.; Lehman Brothers, Inc.; Merrill Lynch & Co.; Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc.; UBS Securities, LLC
Cohen & Grigsby, P.C.	Thomas D. Maxson	11 Stanwix Street	15th Floor	Pittsburgh	PA	15222-1319		412-297-4706	tmaxson@cohenlaw.com	Counsel to Nova Chemicals, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Cohen, Weiss & Simon LLP	Joseph J. Vitale Babette Ceccotti	330 West 42nd Street		New York	NY	10036		212-356-0238	jvitale@cwsny.com bceccotti@cwsny.com	Counsel to International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agriculture Implement Works of America (UAW)
Cohn Birnbaum & Shea P.C.	Scott D. Rosen, Esq.	100 Pearl Street, 12th Floor		Hartford	CT	06103		860-493-2200	srosen@cb-shea.com	Counsel to Floyd Manufacturing Co., Inc.
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP	Jeffrey C. Wisler, Esq.	1007 N. Orange Street	P.O. Box 2207	Wilmington	DE	19899		302-658-9141	jwisler@cblh.com	Counsel to ORIX Warren, LLC
Coolidge Wall Co. LPA	Ronald S. Pretekin	33 West First Street	Suite 600	Dayton	OH	45402		937-223-8177	Pretekin@coollaw.com	Counsel to Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company; Attorneys for Columbia Industrial
Covington & Burling	Susan Power Johnston Aaron R. Marcu	620 Eighth Ave		New York	NY	10018		212-841-1005	sjohnston@cov.com	Special Counsel to the Debtor
Cox, Hodgman & Giarmarco, P.C.	Sean M. Walsh, Esq.	Tenth Floor Columbia Center	101 W. Big Beaver Road	Troy	MI	48084-5280		248-457-7000	swalsh@chglaw.com	Counsel to Nissinbo Automotive Corporation
Curtin & Heefner, LLP	Daniel P. Mazo	250 N. Pennsylvania Avenue		Morrisville	PA	19067		215-736-2521	dpm@curtinheefner.com	Counsel to SPS Technologies, LLC; NSS Technologies, Inc.; SPS Technologies Waterford Company; Greer Stop Nut, Inc.
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	Cindi Eilbott	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061		212-696-6936	ceilbott@curtis.com	Counsel to Flextronics International, Inc.; Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A. de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.; Flextronics Asia- Pacific Ltd.; Flextronics Technology (M) Sdn. Bhd
Damon & Morey LLP	William F. Savino	1000 Cathedral Place	298 Main Street	Buffalo	NY	14202-4096		716-856-5500	wsavino@damonmorey.com	Counsel to Relco, Inc.; The Durham Companies, Inc.
David P. Martin		519 Energy Center Blvd	Ste 1104	Northport	AL	35401		205-343-1771	davidpmartin@erisacase.com davidpmartin@bellsouth.net	Co-Counsel for David Gargis, Jimmy Mueller, and D. Keith Livingston
Day Pitney LLP	Richard M. Meth	P.O. Box 1945		Morristown	NJ	07962-1945		973-966-6300	rmeth@daypitney.com	Counsel to Marshall E. Campbell Company
Day Pitney LLP	Ronald S. Beacher Conrad K. Chiu	7 Times Square		New York	NY	10036		212-297-5800	rbeacher@daypitney.com cchiu@daypitney.com	Counsel to IBJTC Business Credit Corporation, as successor to IBJ Whitehall Business Credit Corporation
Dechert LLP	Glenn E. Siegel James O. Moore	1095 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036-6797		212-698-3500	glenn.siegel@dechert.com james.moore@dechert.com	Counsel for Kensington International Limited, Manchester Securities Corp. and Springfield Associates, LLC
Denso International America, Inc.	Carol Sowa	24777 Denso Drive		Southfield	MI	48086		248-372-8531	carol_sowa@denso-diam.com	Counsel to Denso International America, Inc.
DiConza Law, P.C.	Gerard DiConza, Esq.	630 Third Avenue, 7th Floor		New York	NY	10017		212-682-4940	gdiConza@dLawpc.com	Counsel to Tyz-Air Plastics, Inc.; Co-Counsel to Tower Automotive, Inc.
Dinsmore & Shohl LLP	John Persiani	1900 Chemed Center	255 East Fifth Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-977-8200	john.persiani@dinslaw.com	Counsel to The Procter & Gamble Company

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP	Richard M. Kremen Maria Ellena Chavez-Ruark	The Marbury Building	6225 Smith Avenue	Baltimore	Maryland	21209-3600		410-580-3000	richard.kremen@dlapiper.com	Counsel to Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. & Constellation NewEnergy - Gas Division, LLC
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	Andrew C. Kassner	18th and Cherry Streets		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-988-2700	andrew.kassner@dbr.com	Counsel to Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P.
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	David B. Aaronson	18th and Cherry Streets		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-988-2700	david.aaronson@dbr.com	Counsel to Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P. and Quaker Chemical Corporation
Duane Morris LLP	Joseph H. Lemkin	744 Broad Street	Suite 1200	Newark	NJ	07102		973-424-2000	jhlemkin@duanemorris.com	Counsel to NDK America, Inc./NDK Crystal, Inc.; Foster Electric USA, Inc.; JST Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Taiho Corporation of America; American Aikoku Alpha, Inc.; Sagami America, Ltd.; SL America, Inc./SL Tennessee, LLC; and Hosiden America Corporation
Duane Morris LLP	Lewis R Olshin Esq	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-979-1129	Olshin@duanemorris.com	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company and Pacific Employers Insurance Company
Duane Morris LLP	Margery N. Reed, Esq.	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103-4196		215-979-1000	dmdelphi@duanemorris.com mreed@duanemorris.com	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company and Pacific Employers Insurance Company
Duane Morris LLP	Wendy M. Simkulak, Esq.	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103-4196		215-979-1547	wmsimkulak@duanemorris.com	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company and Pacific Employers Insurance Company
Dykema Gossett PLLC	Douglas S Parker	39577 Woodward Ave	Suite 300	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-203-0703	dparker@dykema.com	Counsel for Federal Screw
Dykema Gossett PLLC	Robert D. Nachman	10 South Wacker Drive	Suite 2300	Chicago	IL	60606		312-876-1700	rnachman@dykema.com	Counsel to MJ Celco, Inc.
Electronic Data Systems Corporation	Ayala Hassell	5400 Legacy Dr.	Mail Stop H3-3A-05	Plano	TX	75024		212-715-9100	ayala.hassell@eds.com	Representative for Electronic Data Systems Corporation
Ellenberg, Ogier, Rothschild & Rosenfeld, P.C.	Barbara Ellis-Monro	170 Mitchell Street, SW		Atlanta	GA	30303		404-581-3818	bem@eorrlaw.com	Counsel to Southwire Company
Entergy Services, Inc.	Alan H. Katz	639 Loyola Ave 26th Fl		New Orleans	LA	70113			akatz@entergy.com	Assistant General Counsel to Entergy Services, Inc
Epstein Becker & Green PC	Maura I. Russell Anthony B. Stumbo	250 Park Ave	11th Floor	New York	NY	10177-1211		212-351-4500	MRussell@ebqlaw.com	Counsel to SPCP Group LLC as agent for Silver Point Capital Fund LP and Silver Point Capital Offshore Fund Ltd
Ettelman & Hochheiser, P.C.	Gary Ettelman	c/o Premium Cadillac	77 Main Street	New Rochelle	NY	10801		516-227-6300	gettelman@e-hlaw.com	Counsel to Jon Ballin
Faegre & Benson LLP	Elizabeth K. Flaagan	3200 Wells Fargo Center	1700 Lincoln St	Denver	CO	80203-4532		303-607-3694	eflaagan@faegre.com	Counsel to CoorsTek, Inc.; Corus, L.P.
Farrell Fritz PC	Louis A. Scarcella Patrick T. Collins	1320 RexCorp Plaza		Uniondale	NY	11556-1320		516-227-0700	lscarcella@farrellfritz.com pcollins@farrellfritz.com	Counsel to Official Committee of Equity Holders
Filardi Law Offices LLC	Charles J. Filardi, Jr., Esq.	65 Trumbull Street	Second Floor	New Haven	CT	06510		203-562-8588	charles@filardi-law.com	Counsel to Federal Express Corporation
Finkel Goldstein Rosenbloom & Nash LLP	Ted J. Donovan	26 Broadway	Suite 711	New York	NY	10004		212-344-2929	tdonovan@finkgold.com	Counsel to Pillarhouse (U.S.A.) Inc.
Foley & Lardner LLP	Ann Marie Uetz	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 2700	Detroit	MI	48226-3489		313-234-7100	auetz@foley.com	Counsel to PBR Tennessee
Foley & Lardner LLP	Jill L. Murch	321 North Clark Street	Suite 2800	Chicago	IL	60610-4764		312-832-4500	jmurch@foley.com	Counsel to Kuss Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Foley & Lardner LLP	John A. Simon	One Detroit Center	500 Woodward Ave Suite 2700	Detroit	MI	48226-3489		313-234-7100	jsimon@foley.com	Counsel to Ernst & Young LLP
Foley & Lardner LLP	John R. Trentacosta Katherine R. Catanese	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 2700	Detroit	MI	48226-3489		313-234-7100	jtrentacosta@foley.com kcatanese@foley.com	Counsel to Kautex Inc.
Fox Rothschild LLP	Brian Isen	1301 Atlantic Avenue		Atlantic City	NJ	08401		609-348-2294	bisen@foxrothschild.com	Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products L.P.
Fox Rothschild LLP	Fred Stevens	100 Park Avenue	15th Floor	New York	NY	10017		212-878-7900	fstevens@foxrothschild.com	Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products, Inc.
Frederick T. Rikkers		419 Venture Court	P.O. Box 930555	Verona	WI	53593		608-848-6350	fridders@rikkerslaw.com	Counsel to Southwest Metal Finishing, Inc.
Frost Brown Todd LLC	Ronald E. Gold	2200 PNC Center	201 East Fifth Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202-4182		513-651-6156	rgold@fbtlaw.com	Counsel to AKS Receivables, LLC
Fulbright & Jaworski LLP	David A. Rosenzweig	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103-3198		212-318-3000	drosenzweig@fulbright.com	Counsel to Southwest Research Institute Attorney for Solvay Fluorides, LLC
Fulbright & Jaworski LLP	Michael M. Parker	300 Convent St Ste 2200		San Antonio	TX	78205		210-224-5575	mparker@fulbright.com	Counsel to Southwest Research Institute
Genovese Joblove & Battista, P.A.	David C. Cimo	100 S.E. 2nd Street	Suite 4400	Miami	FL	33131		305-349-2300	dcimo@gjb-law.com	Counsel to Ryder Integrated Logistics, Inc.
Gibbons P.C.	David N. Crapo	One Gateway Center		Newark	NJ	07102-5310		973-596-4523	dcrapo@gibbonslaw.com	Counsel to Epcos, Inc.
Goldberg Segalla LLP	Attn Bruce W. Hoover	665 Main St Ste 400		Buffalo	NY	14203		716-566-5400	bhoover@goldbergsegalla.com	Attorneys for MasTec Inc.
Gorlick, Kravitz & Listhaus, P.C.	Barbara S. Mehlsack	17 State Street	4th Floor	New York	NY	10004		212-269-2500	bmehlsack@qkllaw.com	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10; International Union of Operating Engineers Local Union Nos. 18, 101 and 832
Goulston & Storrs, P.C.	Peter D. Bilowz	400 Atlantic Avenue		Boston	MA	02110-333		617-482-1776	pbilowz@goulstonstorrs.com	Counsel to Thermotech Company
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	James J. Sabella	485 Lexington Ave		New York	NY	10017		646-722-8520	jsabella@gelaw.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	Jay W. Eisenhofer	45 Rockefeller Center	650 Fifth Avenue	New York	NY	10111		212-755-6501	jeisenhofer@gelaw.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Matthew R. Robbins	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	mrr@previant.com	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
Graydon Head & Ritchey LLP	J. Michael Debbler, Susan M. Argo	1900 Fifth Third Center	511 Walnut Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-621-6464	mdebbler@graydon.com	Counsel to Grote Industries; Batesville Tool & Die; PIA Group; Reliable Castings
Greenberg Traurig, LLP	Maria J. DiConza	MetLife Bldg	200 Park Avenue	New York	NY	10166		212-801-9200	diconzam@gtlaw.com	Counsel to Samtech Corporation
Greenberg Traurig, LLP	Shari L. Heyen	1000 Louisiana	Suite 1800	Houston	TX	77002		713-374-3500	heyens@gtlaw.com	Counsel to Samtech Corporation
Greensfelder, Hemker & Gale, P.C.	Cherie Macdonald J. Patrick Bradley	10 S. Broadway	Suite 200	St. Louis	MO	63102		314-241-9090	ckm@greensfelder.com jpb@greensfelder.com	Counsel to ARC Automotive, Inc.
Hahn Loeser & Parks LLP	Lawrence E Oscar Christopher W Peer	200 Public Square	Suite 2800	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-621-0150	leoscar@hahnlaw.com cpeer@hahnlaw.com	Counsel to Casco Products, a Unit of Sequa Corporation and ARC Automotive, Inc.
Halperin Battaglia Raicht, LLP	Alan D. Halperin Christopher J. Battaglia Julie D. Dyas	555 Madison Avenue	9th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-765-9100	cbattaglia@halperinlaw.net ahalperin@halperinlaw.net jdyas@halperinlaw.net	Counsel to Pacific Gas Turbine Center, LLC and Chromalloy Gas Turbine Corporation; ARC Automotive, Inc.
Hancock & Estabrook LLP	R John Clark Esq	1500 Tower I	PO Box 4976	Syracuse	NY	13221-4976		315-471-3151	riclark@hancocklaw.com	Counsel to Alliance Precision Plastics Corporation
Harrington, Dragich & O'Neill PLLC	David G Dragich	21043 Mack Avenue		Grosse Pointe Woods	MI	48236		313-886-4550	ddragich@hdolaw.com	Counsel to Internet Corporation
Harris D. Leinwand	Harris D. Leinwand	315 Madison Avenue	Suite 901	New York	NY	10017		212-725-7338	hleinwand@aol.com	Counsel to Baker Hughes Incorporated; Baker Petrolite Corporation
Haskell Slaughter Young & Rediker LLC	Robert H. Adams	2001 Park Place North	Suite 1400	Birmingham	AL	35203		205-251-1000	rha@hsy.com	Counsel to Simco Construction, Inc.
Haynes and Boone, LLP	Judith Elkin	153 East 53rd Street	Suite 4900	New York	NY	10022		212-659-7300	judith.elkin@haynesboone.com	Counsel to Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Haynes and Boone, LLP	Lenard M. Parkins Kenric D. Kattner	1 Houston Center	1221 McKinney, Suite 2100	Houston	TX	77010		713-547-2000	lenard.parkins@haynesboone.com kenric.kattner@haynesboone.com	Counsel to Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Herrick, Feinstein LLP	Paul Rubin	2 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10016		212-592-1448	prubin@herrick.com	Counsel to Canon U.S.A., Inc. and Schmidt Technology GmbH
Hewlett-Packard Company	Kenneth F. Higman	2125 E. Katella Avenue	Suite 400	Anaheim	CA	92806		714-940-7120	ken.higman@hp.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Company
Hewlett-Packard Company	Ramona S. Neal	11311 Chinden Blvd., M/S 314		Boise	ID	83714-0021		208-396-6484	Ramona.neal@hp.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Company
Hewlett-Packard Company	Sharon Petrosino	420 Mountain Avenue		Murray Hill	NJ	07974		908-898-4760	sharon.petrosino@hp.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Hinckley Allen & Snyder LLP	Michael J Pendell	185 Asylum St CityPlace I	35th Floor	Hartford	CT	06103-3488		860-725-6200	mpendell@haslaw.com	Counsel to Barnes Group, Inc.
Hiscock & Barclay, LLP	J. Eric Charlton	300 South Salina Street	PO Box 4878	Syracuse	NY	13221-4878		315-425-2716	echarlton@hiscockbarclay.com	Counsel to GW Plastics, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Hodgson Russ LLP	Garry M. Graber	The Guaranty Building	140 Pearl Street, Suite 100	Buffalo	NY	14202-4040		716-856-4000	ggrab@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel to Hexcel Corporation; Unifrax I LLC f/k/a Unifrax Corporation
Hodgson Russ LLP	James C. Thoman	The Guaranty Building	140 Pearl Street, Suite 100	Buffalo	NY	14202-4040		716-856-4000	jthoman@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel to Unifrax I LLC f/k/a Unifrax Corporation
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Audrey Moog	Columbia Square	555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.	Washington	D.C.	20004-1109		202-637-5677	amoog@hhlaw.com	Counsel to Umicore Autocat Canada Corp.
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Edward C. Dolan	Columbia Square	555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.	Washington	D.C.	20004-1109		202-637-5677	ecdolan@hhlaw.com	Counsel to Umicore Autocat Canada Corp.
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Scott A. Golden	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-918-3000	sagolden@hhlaw.com	Counsel to XM Satellite Radio Inc.
Hogan Lovells US LLP	Matthew P Morris	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-918-3000	matthew.morris@hoganlovells.com	Counsel to TESA AG
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	Donald T. Baty, Jr.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7314	dbaty@honigman.com	Counsel to Fujitsu Ten Corporation of America
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	E. Todd Sable	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7548	tsable@honigman.com	Counsel to Valeo Climate Control Corp.; Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc. - Motors and Actuators Division; Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc. - Wipers Division; Valeo Switches & Detection System, Inc.
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	I. W. Winsten, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7608	iww@honigman.com	Counsel to Affina Group Holdings Inc.
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	Lawrence J. Murphy	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Ave	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7488	lmurphy@honigman.com	Attorneys for Guide Corporation and Lightsource Parent Corporation
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	Seth A Drucker	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue Ste 2290	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7626	sdrucker@honigman.com	Counsel for Valeo Climate Control, Corp.
Howard & Howard Attorneys PC	Lisa S Gretchko	39400 Woodward Ave	Ste 101	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304-5151		248-723-0396	lgretchko@howardandhoward.com	Intellectual Property Counsel for Delphi Corporation, et al.
Howick, Westfall, McBryan & Kaplan, LLP	Louis G. McBryan	3101 Tower Creek Parkway	Ste 600 One Tower Creek	Atlanta	GA	30339		678-384-7000	lmc Bryan@hwmklaw.com	Counsel to Vanguard Distributors, Inc.
Hunter & Schank Co. LPA	John J. Hunter	One Canton Square	1700 Canton Avenue	Toledo	OH	43624		419-255-4300	jrhunter@hunterschank.com	Counsel to ZF Group North America Operations, Inc.
Hunter & Schank Co. LPA	Thomas J. Schank	One Canton Square	1700 Canton Avenue	Toledo	OH	43624		419-255-4300	tomschank@hunterschank.com	Counsel to ZF Group North America Operations, Inc.
Hunton & Williams LLP	Steven T. Holmes	Energy Plaza, 30th Floor	1601 Bryan Street	Dallas	TX	75201		214-979-3000	sholmes@hunton.com	Counsel to RF Monolithics, Inc.
Hurwitz & Fine P.C.	Ann E. Evanko	1300 Liberty Building		Buffalo	NY	14202		716-849-8900	ae@hurwitzfine.com	Counsel to Jiffy-Tite Co., Inc.
Ice Miller	Ben T. Caughey	One American Square	Box 82001	Indianapolis	IN	46282-0200		317-236-2100	Ben.Caughey@icemiller.com	Counsel to Sumco, Inc.
Ice Miller LLP	Henry A. Efrogmson	One American Square	29th Floor	Indianapolis	IN	46482		317-236-2397	henry.efrogmson@icemiller.com	Counsel to Fin Machine Co. Ltd

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Infineon Technologies North America Corporation	Greg Bibbes	1730 North First Street	M/S 11305	San Jose	CA	95112		408-501-6442	greg.bibbes@infineon.com	General Counsel & Vice President for Infineon Technologies North America Corporation
Infineon Technologies North America Corporation	Jeff Gillespie	2529 Commerce Drive	Suite H	Kokomo	IN	46902		765-454-2146	jeffery.gillispie@infineon.com	Global Account Manager for Infineon Technologies North America
International Union of Operating Engineers	Richard Griffin	1125-17th Avenue, N.W.		Washington	DC	20036		202-429-9100	rgriffin@iuoe.org	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10; International Union of Operating Engineers Local Union Nos. 18, 101 and 832
Jackson Walker LLP	Bruce J. Ruzinsky	1401 McKinney St Ste 1900		Houston	TX	77010		713-751-4200	bruzinsky@jw.com	Counsel to Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.
Jackson Walker LLP	Heather M. Forrest	901 Main St Ste 600		Dallas	TX	75202		214-953-6000	hforrest@jw.com	Counsel to Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.
James R Scheuerle	Parmenter O'Toole	601 Terrace Street	PO Box 786	Muskegon	MI	49443-0786		231-722-1621	JRS@Parmenterlaw.com	Counsel to Port City Die Cast and Port City Group Inc
Jason, Inc.	Will Schultz, General Counsel	411 E. Wisconsin Ave	Suite 2120	Milwaukee	WI	53202		414-277-2110	wschultz@jasoninc.com	General Counsel to Jason Incorporated
Jenner & Block LLP	Ronald R. Peterson	One IBM Plaza		Chicago	IL	60611		312-222-9350	rpeterson@jenner.com	Counsel to SPX Corporation (Contech Division), Alcan Rolled Products-Ravenswood, LLC, Tenneco Inc. and Contech LLC
Johnston, Harris Gerde & Komarek, P.A.	Jerry W. Gerde, Esq.	239 E. 4th St.		Panama City	FL	32401		850-763-8421	gerdekomarek@bellsouth.net	Counsel to Peggy C. Brannon, Bay County Tax Collector
Jones Day	Corinne Ball	222 East 41st Street		New York	NY	10017		212-326-7844	cball@jonesday.com	Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC
Jones Day	Peter J. Benvenuti Michaeline H. Correa	555 California St 26th Floor		San Francisco	CA	94104		415-626-3939	pibenvenuti@jonesday.com mcorrea@jonesday.com	Attorneys for Symantec Corporation, Successor-in-Interest to Veritas Corporation
Jones Day	Scott J. Friedman	222 East 41st Street		New York	NY	10017		212-326-3939	sjfriedman@jonesday.com	Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC
Karel S. Karpe P.C. d/b/a KarpeLaw	Karel S. Karpe	44 Wall Street	12th Floor	New York	NY	10005		212-461-2250	kkarpe@karpelaw.com	Counsel to United Parcel Service
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP	John P. Sieger, Esq.	525 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60661		312-902-5200	john.sieger@kattenlaw.com	Counsel to TDK Corporation America and MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc.
Kaye Scholer LLP	Richard G Smolev	425 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10022-3598		212-236-8000	rsmolev@kayescholer.com	Counsel to InPlay Technologies Inc
Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter Co., LPA	Kenneth R. Cookson	65 East State Street	Suite 1800	Columbus	OH	43215		614-426-5400	kcookson@keglerbrown.com	Counsel to Solution Recovery Services

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Keller Rohrback L.L.P.	Lynn Lincoln Sarko Cari Campen Laufenberg Erin M. Rily	1201 Third Avenue	Suite 3200	Seattle	WA	98101		206-623-1900	lsarko@kellerrohrback.com claufenberg@kellerrohrback.com om eriley@kellerrohrback.com	Counsel to Neal Folck, Greg Bartell, Donald McEvoy, Irene Polito, and Thomas Kessler, on behalf of themselves and a class of persons similarly situated, and on behalf of the Delphi Savings-Stock Purchase Program for Salaried Employees in the United States and the Delphi Personal Savings Plan for Hourly-Rate Employees in the United States
Keller Rohrback P.L.C.	Gary A. Gotto	National Bank Plaza	3101 North Central Avenue, Suite 900	Phoenix	AZ	85012		602-248-0088	ggotto@kellerrohrback.com	Counsel to Neal Folck, Greg Bartell, Donald McEvoy, Irene Polito, and Thomas Kessler, on behalf of themselves and a class of persons similarly situated, and on behalf of the Delphi Savings-Stock Purchase Program for Salaried Employees in the United States and the Delphi Personal Savings Plan for Hourly-Rate Employees in the United States
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP	Craig A. Wolfe	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-808-7800	cwolfe@kelleydrye.com	Counsel to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP	Merrill B. Stone	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-808-7800	mstone@kelleydrye.com	Counsel to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Susan M. Jennik	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	sjennik@kjmlabor.com	Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Thomas Kennedy	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	tkennedy@kjmlabor.com	Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Kerr Russell & Weber PLC	James E. DeLine	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-961-0200	jed@krwlaw.com	Counsel to Pontiac Coil, Inc.
Kerr Russell & Weber PLC	Patrick Warren Hunt	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-961-0200	pwh@krwlaw.com	Counsel to Pontiac Coil, Inc.
King & Spalding, LLP	H. Slayton Dabney, Jr.	1185 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-556-2100	sdabney@kslaw.com	Counsel to KPMG LLP
Kirkland & Ellis LLP	David Spiegel	300 North LaSalle		Chicago	IL	60654		312-862-2000	david.spiegel@kirkland.com	
Kirkland & Ellis LLP	Jim Stempel	200 East Randolph Drive		Chicago	IL	60601		312-861-2000	jstempel@kirkland.com	Counsel to Lunt Manufacturing Company
Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Nicholson Graham LLP	Edward M. Fox	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-536-4812	efox@klnq.com	Counsel to Wilmington Trust Company, as Indenture trustee
Kokomo Gas & Fuel Company	Patti E Pope Revenue Recovery Manager	Northern Indiana Public Service Company	801 East 86th Avenue	Merrillville	IN	46410			pepope@nisource.com	Kokomo Gas & Fuel Company
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Jordan D Kaye	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-715-9489	jkaye@kramerlevin.com	Counsel to HP Enterprise Services, LLC; Vishay Americas Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Krieg Devault LLP	Lawrence W. Schmits Esq.	One Indiana Square, Suite 2800		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-238-6271	lschmits@kdlegal.com	Co-Counsel for Delphi Salaried Retirees Association Benefit Trust VEBA Committee
Krieg Devault LLP	Patricia L. Beaty Esq	One Indiana Square, Suite 2800		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-636-4341	pbeaty@kdlegal.com	Co-Counsel for Delphi Salaried Retirees Association Benefit Trust VEBA Committee
Krugliak, Wilkins, Griffiths & Dougherty CO., L.P.A.	Sam O. Simmerman	4775 Munson Street N.W.	P.O. Box 36963	Canton	OH	44735-6963		330-497-0700	sosimmerman@kwqd.com	Counsel to for Millwood, Inc.
Kutak Rock LLP	Jay Selanders	1010 Grand Blvd Ste 500		Kansas City	MO	64106		816-502-4617	jay.selanders@kutakrock.com	Counsel to DaimlerChrysler Corporation; DaimlerChrysler Motors Company, LLC; DaimlerChrysler Canada, Inc.
Kutchin & Rufo, P.C.	Edward D. Kutchin	Two Center Plaza	Suite 620	Boston	MA	02108-1906		617-542-3000	ekutchin@kutchinrufo.com	Counsel to Parlex Corporation
Kutchin & Rufo, P.C.	Kerry R. Northrup	Two Center Plaza	Suite 620	Boston	MA	02108-1906		617-542-3000	knorthrup@bmklegal.com	Counsel to Parlex Corporation
Lambert. Leser, Isackson, Cook & Guinta, P.C.	Adam D. Bruski	309 Davidson Building	PO Box 835	Bay City	MI	48707-0835		989-893-3518	adbruski@lambertleser.com	Counsel to Creditor Linamar Corp.
Lambert. Leser, Isackson, Cook & Guinta, P.C.	Susan M. Cook	309 Davidson Building	PO Box 835	Bay City	MI	48707-0835		989-893-3518	smcook@lambertleser.com	Counsel to Linamar Corporation
Latham & Watkins	Mark A. Broude	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1384	mark.broude@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Michael J. Riela	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	michael.riela@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Mitchell A. Seider	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	mitchell.seider@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Robert Rosenberg	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1370	robert.rosenberg@lw.com	UCC Professional
Law Offices of Michael O'Hayer	Michael O'Hayer Esq	22 N Walnut Street		West Chester	PA	19380		610-738-1230	mkohayer@aol.com	Counsel to A-1 Specialized Services and Supplies Inc
Lewis and Roca LLP	Rob Charles, Esq.	One South Church Street	Suite 700	Tucson	AZ	85701		520-629-4427	rcharles@lrlaw.com	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.
Lewis and Roca LLP	Susan M. Freeman, Esq.	40 North Central Avenue	Suite 1900	Phoenix	AZ	85004-4429		602-262-5756	sfreeman@lrlaw.com	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.
Linear Technology Corporation	John England, Esq.	General Counsel for Linear Technology Corporation	1630 McCarthy Blvd.	Milpitas	CA	95035-7417		408-432-1900	jengland@linear.com	Counsel to Linear Technology Corporation
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	Diane W. Sanders	1949 South IH 35 (78741)	P.O. Box 17428	Austin	TX	78760-7428		512-447-6675	austin.bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel to Cameron County, Brownsville ISD
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	Elizabeth Weller	2323 Bryan Street	Suite 1600	Dallas	TX	75201		214-880-0089	dallas.bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel to Dallas County and Tarrant County
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	John P. Dillman	P.O. Box 3064		Houston	TX	77253-3064		713-844-3478	houston_bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel in Charge for Taxing Authorities: Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District, City of Houston, Harris County
Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell	Kevin J. Walsh	885 Third Avenue	26th Floor	New York	NY	10022-4802		212-812-8304	kwals@lockelord.com	Counsel to Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc. and Methode Electronics, Inc.
Loeb & Loeb LLP	P. Gregory Schwed	345 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10154-0037		212-407-4000	gschwed@loeb.com	Counsel to Creditor The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc. and Proposed Auditor Deloitte & Touche, LLP
Loeb & Loeb LLP	William M. Hawkins	345 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10154		212-407-4000	whawkins@loeb.com	Counsel to Industrial Ceramics Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Bruce S. Nathan	1251 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	bnathan@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Daewoo International (America) Corp.
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Ira M. Levee	1251 Avenue of the Americas	18th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	ilevee@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Kenneth A. Rosen	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	krosen@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Cerberus Capital Management, L.P.
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Michael S. Etikin	1251 Avenue of the Americas	18th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	metkin@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Scott Cargill	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	scargill@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Cerberus Capital Management, L.P.; AT&T Corporation
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Vincent A. D'Agostino	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	vdagostino@lowenstein.com	Counsel to AT&T Corporation
Lyden, Liebenthal & Chappell, Ltd.	Erik G. Chappell	5565 Airport Highway	Suite 101	Toledo	OH	43615		419-867-8900	egc@lydenlaw.com	Counsel to Metro Fibres, Inc.
Maddin, Hauser, Wartell, Roth & Heller PC	Alexander Stotland Esq	28400 Northwestern Hwy	Third Floor	Southfield	MI	48034		248-354-4030	axs@maddinhauser.com	Attorney for Danice Manufacturing Co.
Madison Capital Management	Joe Landen	6143 South Willow Drive	Suite 200	Greenwood Village	CO	80111		303-957-4254	ilanden@madisoncap.com	Representative for Madison Capital Management
Margulies & Levinson, LLP	Leah M. Caplan, Esq.	30100 Chagrin Boulevard	Suite 250	Pepper Pike	OH	44124		216-514-4935	lmc@ml-legal.com	Counsel to Venture Plastics
Mastromarco & Jahn, P.C.	Victor J. Mastromarco, Jr.	1024 North Michigan Avenue	P.O. Box 3197	Saginaw	MI	48605-3197		989-752-1414	vmastromar@aol.com	Counsel to H.E. Services Company and Robert Backie and Counsel to Cindy Palmer, Personal Representative to the Estate of Michael Palmer
Masuda Funai Eifert & Mitchell, Ltd.	Gary D. Santella	203 North LaSalle Street	Suite 2500	Chicago	IL	60601-1262		312-245-7500	gsantella@masudafunai.com	Counsel to NDK America, Inc./NDK Crystal, Inc.; Foster Electric USA, Inc.; JST Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Taiho Corporation of America; American Aikoku Alpha, Inc.; Sagami America, Ltd.; SL America, Inc./SL Tennessee, LLC and Hosiden America Corporation
McCarter & English, LLP	David J. Adler, Jr. Esq.	245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor		New York	NY	10167		212-609-6800	dadler@mccarter.com	Counsel to Ward Products, LLC
McCarter & English, LLP	Eduardo J. Glas, Esq.	Four Gateway Center	100 Mulberry Street	Newark	NJ	07102-4096		913-622-4444	eglas@mccarter.com	Counsel to General Products Delaware Corporation
McCarthy Tetrault LLP	Lorne P. Salzman	66 Wellington Street West	Suite 4700	Toronto	Ontario	M5K 1E6		416-362-1812	lsalzman@mccarthy.ca	Counsel to Themselves (McCarthy Tetrault LLP)
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Gary O. Ravert	340 Madison Avenue		New York	NY	10017-1922		212-547-5477	gravert@mwe.com	Counsel for Temic Automotive of North America, Inc.
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Stephen B. Selbst	340 Madison Avenue		New York	NY	10017		212-547-5400	sselbst@mwe.com	Counsel to National Semiconductor Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Steven P. Handler Monica M. Quinn	227 W Monroe St		Chicago	IL	60606		312-372-2000	shandler@mwe.com mquinn@mwe.com	Counsel for Temic Automotive of North America, Inc.
McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA	Scott N. Opincar, Esq.	600 Superior Avenue, E.	Suite 2100	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-348-5400	sopincar@mcdonaldhopkins.com	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA	Shawn M. Riley, Esq.	600 Superior Avenue, E.	Suite 2100	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-348-5400	sriley@mcdonaldhopkins.com	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP	Jeffrey Bernstein, Esq.	Three Gateway Center	100 Mulberry Street	Newark	NJ	07102-4079		973-622-7711	jbernstein@mdmc-law.com	Counsel to New Jersey Self-Insurers Guaranty Association
McGuirewoods LLP	Aaron G McCollough Esq	One James Center	901 East Cary Street	Richmond	VA	23219-4030		804-775-1000	amccollough@mcquirewoods.com	Counsel to Siemens Energy & Automation, Inc.
McGuirewoods LLP	Daniel F Blanks	One James Center	901 East Cary Street	Richmond	VA	23219		804-775-1000	dblank@mcquirewoods.com	Counsel for CSX Transportation, Inc.
McGuirewoods LLP	John H Maddock III	One James Center	901 East Cary Street	Richmond	VA	23219-4030		804-775-1178	jmaddock@mcquirewoods.com	Counsel to Siemens Logistics Assembly Systems, Inc.; Counsel for CSX Transportation, Inc.
Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C.	Attn Thomas R Slome Esq	990 Stewart Ave Ste 300	PO Box 9194	Garden City	NY	11530-9194		516-741-6565	tslome@msek.com	Counsel for Pamela Geller; JAE Electronics, Inc.
Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C.	Hanan Kolko	1350 Broadway	Suite 501	New York	NY	10018		212-239-4999	hkolko@msek.com	Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Meyers Law Group, P.C.	Merle C. Meyers	44 Montgomery Street	Suite 1010	San Francisco	CA	94104		415-362-7500	mmeyers@mlg-pc.com	Counsel to Alps Automotive, Inc.
Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, P.A.	M. Evan Meyers	Berkshire Building	6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 400	Riverdale Park	MD	20737-1385		301-699-5800	emeyers@mrrlaw.net	Counsel to Prince George County, Maryland
Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, P.A.	Robert H. Rosenbaum	Berkshire Building	6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 400	Riverdale Park	MD	20737-1385		301-699-5800	rosenbaum@mrrlaw.net	Counsel to Prince George County, Maryland
Miami-Dade County Tax Collector	April Burch	Paralegal Unit	140 West Flagler St Ste 1403	Miami	FL	33130		305-375-5314	mdtcbkc@miamidade.gov	Paralegal Collection Specialist for Miami-Dade County
Michael Cox		Cadillac Place	3030 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 10-200	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-0140	miag@michigan.gov	Attorney General for State of Michigan, Department of Treasury
Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, Worker's Compensation Agency	Dennis J. Raterink	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909-7717		517-373-1176	raterink@michigan.gov	Assistant Attorney General for Worker's Compensation Agency; Attorney for the Funds Administration for the State of Michigan
Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, Worker's Compensation Agency	Michael Cox	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909-7717		517-373-1820	miag@michigan.gov	Attorney General for Worker's Compensation Agency; Attorney for the Funds Administration for the State of Michigan

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Miles & Stockbridge, P.C.	Thomas D. Renda	10 Light Street		Baltimore	MD	21202		410-385-3418	trenda@milesstockbridge.com	Counsel to Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Aluminum Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc.
Miller & Martin PLLC	Dale Allen	150 Fourth Ave North	Ste 1200	Nashville	TN	37219			vjones@millermartin.com	Counsel to Averitt Express
Miller Johnson	Thomas P. Sarb	250 Monroe Avenue, N.W.	Suite 800, PO Box 306	Grand Rapids	MI	49501-0306		616-831-1748	sarbt@millerjohnson.com	Counsel to Pridgeon & Clay, Inc.
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Robert D. Wolford							616-831-1726	wolfordr@millerjohnson.com	Counsel to Wells Operating Partnership, LP
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Jonathan S. Green	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-8452	greenj@millercanfield.com	Counsel to Brose North America Holding LP and its affiliates
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Marc N. Swanson	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-963-6420	swansonm@millercanfield.com	Counsel to Niles USA Inc.; Techcentral, LLC; The Bartech Group, Inc.; Fischer Automotive Systems
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Timothy A. Fusco	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-8435	fusco@millercanfield.com	Counsel to Hitachi Automotive Products (USA), Inc. and Conceria Pasubio
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris Glovsky and Pepco, P.C.	Paul J. Ricotta	One Financial Center		Boston	MA	02111		617-542-6000	piricotta@mintz.com pricotta@mintz.com	Counsel to Molex Connector Corp
Molex Connector Corp	Jeff Ott	2222 Wellington Ct.		Lisle	IL	60532		630-527-4254	Jeff.Ott@molex.com	Counsel to ITT Industries, Inc.; Hitachi Chemical (Singapore), Ltd.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Andrew D. Gottfried	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0060		212-309-6000	agottfried@morganlewis.com	Counsel to Hitachi Chemical (Singapore) Pte, Ltd.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Menachem O. Zelmanovitz	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-309-6000	mzelmanovitz@morganlewis.com	Counsel to Sumitomo Corporation
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Richard W. Esterkin, Esq.	300 South Grand Avenue		Los Angeles	CA	90017		213-612-1163	resterkin@morganlewis.com	Counsel to Standard Microsystems Corporation and its direct and indirect subsidiaries Oasis SiliconSystems AG and SMSC NA Automotive, LLC (successor-in-interest to Oasis Silicon Systems, Inc.)
Moritt Hock Hamroff & Horowitz LLP	Leslie Ann Berkoff	400 Garden City Plaza		Garden City	NY	11530		516-873-2000	lberkoff@morithhock.com	Counsel to The Timken Corporation
Moses & Singer LLP	James M. Sullivan Esq.	The Chrysler Building	405 Lexington Avenue	New York	NY	10174		212-554-7800	jsullivan@mosessinger.com	Counsel to Texas Instruments Incorporated
Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, P.C.	Raymond J. Urbanik, Esq., Joseph J. Wielebinski, Esq. and Davor Rukavina, Esq.	3800 Lincoln Plaza	500 North Akard Street	Dallas	RX	75201-6659		214-855-7590 214-855-7561 214-855-7587	rurbanik@munsch.com jwielebinski@munsch.com drukavina@munsch.com	Counsel to Lankfer Diversified Industries, Inc.
Nantz, Litowich, Smith, Girard & Hamilton, P.C.	Sandra S. Hamilton	2025 East Beltline, S.E.	Suite 600	Grand Rapids	MI	49546		616-977-0077	sandy@nlsq.com	

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Nathan, Neuman & Nathan, P.C.	Kenneth A. Nathan	29100 Northwestern Highway	Suite 260	Southfield	MI	48034		248-351-0099	Knathan@nathanneuman.com	Counsel to 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties
National City Commercial Capital	Lisa M. Moore	995 Dalton Avenue		Cincinnati	OH	45203		513-455-2390	lmoore@pnc.com	Vice President and Senior Counsel to National City Commercial Capital
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough	George B. Cauthen	1320 Main Street, 17th Floor	PO Box 11070	Columbia	SC	29201		803-7255-9425	george.cauthen@nelsonmullins.com	Counsel to Datwyler Rubber & Plastics, Inc.; Datwyler, Inc.; Datwyler i/o devices (Americas), Inc.; Rothrist Tube (USA), Inc.
New Jersey Attorney General's Office Division of Law	Tracy E Richardson Deputy Attorney General	R.J. Hughes Justice Complex	25 Market St P.O. Box 106	Trenton	NJ	08628-0106		609-292-1537	tracy.richardson@dol.lps.state.nj.us	Deputy Attorney General - State of New Jersey Division of Taxation
Nixon Peabody LLP	Victor G. Milione Christopher M. Desiderio	437 Madison Ave		New York	NY	10022		212-940-3000	cdesiderio@nixonpeabody.com vmilione@nixonpeabody.com	Counsel to Corning Inc., Corning Incorporated, and Corning
North Point	David G. Heiman	901 Lakeside Avenue		Cleveland	OH	44114		216-586-3939	dgheiman@jonesday.com	Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC
Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee Office of the Texas Attorney General	Camille Hope Jay W. Hurst	P.O. Box 954 P.O. Box 12548		Macon Austin	GA TX	31202 78711-2548		478-742-8706 512-475-4861	cahope@chapter13macon.com jay.hurst@oag.state.tx.us	Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee Counsel to The Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency	c/o Michelle T. Sutter Michael M. Zizza, Legal Manager	Principal Assistant Attorney General Environmental Enforcement Section 44 Manning Road	30 E Broad St 25th Fl	Columbus	OH	43215		614-466-2766	msutter@ag.state.oh.us	Attorney for State of Ohio, Environmental Protection Agency
Orbotech, Inc.				Billerica	MA	01821		978-901-5025	michaelz@orbotech.com	Company
O'Rourke Katten & Moody	Michael Moody	55 W Wacker Dr	Ste 1400	Chicago	IL	60615		312-849-2020	mmoody@orourkeandmoody.com	Counsel to Ameritech Credit Corporation d/b/a SBC Capital Services
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Alyssa Englund, Esq.	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103		212-506-5187	aenglund@orrick.com	Counsel to America President Lines, Ltd. And APL Co. Pte Ltd.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Frederick D. Holden, Jr., Esq.	405 Howard Street		San Francisco	CA	94105		415-773-5700	fholden@orrick.com	Counsel to America President Lines, Ltd. And APL Co. Pte Ltd.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Raniero D'Aversa, Jr.	51 West 52nd Street at 6th Avenue		New York	NY	10103-0001		212-506-3715	Rdaversa@orrick.com	Counsel to Bank of America, N.A.
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP	Michael R. Seidl	919 N. Market Street, 17th Floor	P.O. Box 8705	Wilmington	DE	19899-8705		302-652-4100	mseidl@pszilaw.com	Counsel for Essex Group, Inc.
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP	Robert J. Feinstein Ilan D. Scharf	780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor		New York	NY	10017-2024		212-561-7700	rfeinstein@pszilaw.com lscharf@pszilaw.com	Counsel for Essex Group, Inc.
Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP	Daniel A. Lowenthal	1133 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-336-2720	dalowenthal@pbwt.com	Counsel to American Finance Group, Inc. d/b/a Guaranty Capital Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP	David W. Dykhouse Phyllis S. Wallitt	1133 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036-6710		212-336-2000	dwdykhouse@pbwt.com	Attorneys for Fry's Metals Inc. and Specialty Coatings Systems Eft
Paul H. Spaeth Co. LPA	Paul H. Spaeth	130 W Second St Ste 450		Dayton	OH	45402		937-223-1655	spaethlaw@phslaw.com	Attorneys for F&G Multi-Slide Inc and F&G Tool & Die Co. Inc.
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Andrew N. Rosenberg	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	arosenberg@paulweiss.com	Counsel to Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Douglas R. Davis	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	ddavis@paulweiss.com	Counsel to Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Elizabeth R. McColm	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	emccolm@paulweiss.com	Counsel to Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC
Peggy Housner		Cadillac Place	3030 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 10-200	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-0140	housnerp@michigan.gov	Assistant Attorney General for State of Michigan, Department of Treasury
Penachio Malara LLP	Anne Penachio	235 Main Street	Suite 600A	White Plains	NY	10601		914-946-2889	apenachio@pmlawlp.com	Counsel to UVA Machine Company and its successors by acquisition
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Francis J. Lawall	3000 Two logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	lawallf@pepperlaw.com	Counsel to Capro, Ltd, Teleflex Automotive Manufacturing Corporation and Teleflex Incorporated d/b/a Teleflex Morse (Capro)
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Henry Jaffe	1313 Market Street	PO Box 1709	Wilmington	DE	19899-1709		302-777-6500	jaffeh@pepperlaw.com	Counsel to SKF USA, Inc.
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Nina M. Varughese	3000 Two Logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	varughesen@pepperlaw.com	Counsel to Capro, Ltd; Teleflex Automotive Manufacturing Corporation; Teleflex Incorporated; Ametek; Cleo, Inc.; Sierra International, Inc.
Pickrel Shaeffer & Ebeling	Sarah B. Carter Esq	2700 Kettering Tower		Dayton	OH	45423-2700		937-223-1130	scarter@pselaw.com	
Pierce Atwood LLP	Jacob A. Manheimer	One Monument Square		Portland	ME	04101		207-791-1100	jmanheimer@pierceatwood.com	Counsel to FCI Canada, Inc.; FCI Electronics Mexido, S. de R.L. de C.V.; FCI USA, Inc.; FCI Brasil, Ltda; FCI Automotive Deutschland GmbH; FCI Italia S. p.A.
Pierce Atwood LLP	Keith J. Cunningham	One Monument Square		Portland	ME	04101		207-791-1100	kcunningham@pierceatwood.com	Counsel to FCI Canada, Inc.; FCI Electronics Mexido, S. de R.L. de C.V.; FCI USA, Inc.; FCI Brasil, Ltda; FCI Automotive Deutschland GmbH; FCI Italia S. p.A.
Pietragallo Bosick & Gordon LLP	Richard J. Parks	54 Buhl Blvd		Sharon	PA	16146		724-981-1397	rjp@pbandg.com	Counsel to Ideal Tool Company, Inc.
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Karen B. Dine	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	karen.dine@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America, Hyundai Motor Company and Hyundai Motor America

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Margot P. Erlich	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	margot.erlich@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Mark D. Houle	650 Town Center Drive	Ste 550	Costa Mesa	CA	92626-7122		714-436-6800	mark.houle@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America, Hyundai Motor Company and Hyundai Motor America
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Richard L. Epling	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	richard.epling@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Robin L. Spear	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	robin.spear@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, P.C.	Brett S. Moore, Esq.	100 Southgate Parkway	P.O. Box 1997	Morristown	NJ	07960		973-538-4006	bsmoore@pbnlaw.com	
Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, P.C.	John S. Mairo, Esq.	100 Southgate Parkway	P.O. Box 1997	Morristown	NJ	07960		973-538-4006	jsmairo@pbnlaw.com	Counsel to Neuman Aluminum Automotive, Inc. and Neuman Aluminum Impact Extrusion, Inc.
Previant, Goldberg, Uelman, Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Jill M. Hartley and Marianne G. Robbins	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	jh@previant.com mgr@previant.com	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
PriceWaterHouseCoopers	Enrique Bujidos	Almagro	40	Madrid		28010	Spain	34 915 684 356	enrique.bujidos@es.pwc.com	Representative to DASE
QAD, Inc.	Stephen Tyler Esq	10,000 Midlantic Drive	Suite 100 West	Mt. Laurel	NJ	08054		856-840-2870	xst@qad.com	Counsel to QAD, Inc.
Quarles & Brady LLP	Kasey C. Nye	One South Church Street		Tucson	AZ	85701		520-770-8717	knye@quarles.com	Counsel to Offshore International, Inc.; Maquilas Teta Kawi, S.A. de C.V.; On Semiconductor Corporation; Flambeau Inc.
Quarles & Brady LLP	Roy Prange	33 E Main St Ste 900		Madison	WI	53703-3095		608-283-2485	rjp@quarles.com	Counsel for Flambeau Inc.
Quarles & Brady LLP	Valerie L. Bailey-Rihn Esq	33 E Main St Ste 900		Madison	WI	53703		608-283-2407	valerie.bailey-rihn@quarles.com	Counsel to Charter Manufacturing Co., Charter Mfg. Co. Inc., Charter Steel and Milwaukee Wire Products
Reed Smith	Ann Pille	10 South Wacker Drive		Chicago	IL	60606		312-207-1000	apille@reedsmith.com	Counsel to Infineon; Infineon Technologies
Republic Engineered Products, Inc.	Joseph A Kaczka	3770 Embassy Parkway		Akron	OH	44333		330-670-3215	jkaczka@republicengineered.com	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
Riddell Williams P.S.	Joseph E. Shickich, Jr.	1001 4th Ave.	Suite 4500	Seattle	WA	98154-1195		206-624-3600	jshickich@riddellwilliams.com	Counsel to Microsoft Corporation; Microsoft Licensing, GP
Rieck and Crotty PC	Jerome F Crotty	55 West Monroe Street	Suite 3390	Chicago	IL	60603		312-726-4646	jcrotty@rieckcrotty.com	Counsel to Mary P. O'Neill and Liam P. O'Neill

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc.	Charles E. Boulbol, P.C.	26 Broadway, 17th Floor		New York	NY	10004		212-825-9457	rtrack@msn.com	Counsel to Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc.
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Christopher R. Belmonte	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	cbelmonte@ssbb.com	Counsel to Moody's Investors Service
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Pamela A. Bosswick	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	pbosswick@ssbb.com	Counsel to Moody's Investors Service
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Roberto Carrillo	230 Park Avenue	Suite 1130	New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	rcarrillo@ssbb.com	Attorney's for Tecnomec S.r.L.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Daniel Weiner	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340	dweiner@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Howard Borin	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340	hborin@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Michael R Wernette	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340	mwernette@schaferandweiner.com shellie@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Ryan Heilman	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340	rheilman@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schiff Hardin LLP	Eugene J. Geekie, Jr.	7500 Sears Tower		Chicago	IL	60606		312-258-5635	egeekie@schiffhardin.com	Counsel to Means Industries
Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP	David J. Karp	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2000	david.karp@srz.com	Counsel to Parnassus Holdings II, LLC and Platinum Equity Capital Partners II, LP
Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP	James T. Bentley	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2273	james.bentley@srz.com	Counsel to Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America
Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP	Michael L. Cook	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2000	michael.cook@srz.com	Counsel to Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America; D.C. Capital Partners, L.P.
Schwartz Lichtenberg LLP	Barry E Lichtenberg Esq	420 Lexington Ave Ste 2400		New York	NY	10170		212-389-7818	barryster@att.net	Counsel to Marybeth Cunningham
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Paul M. Baisier, Esq.	1545 Peachtree Street, N.E.	Suite 700	Atlanta	GA	30309-2401		404-885-1500	pbaisier@seyfarth.com	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Robert W. Dremluk	620 Eighth Ave		New York	NY	10018-1405		212-218-5500	rdremluk@seyfarth.com	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	William J. Hanlon	World Trade Center East	Two Seaport Lane, Suite 300	Boston	MA	02210		617-946-4800	whanlon@seyfarth.com	Counsel to le Belier/LBQ Foundry S.A. de C.V.
Shaw Gussis Fishman Glantz Wolfson & Towbin LLC	Brian L Shaw	321 N. Clark St.	Suite 800	Chicago	IL	60654		312-541-0151	bshaw100@shawgussis.com	Counsel to ATC Logistics & Electronics, Inc.
Sheehan Phinney Bass + Green Professional Association	Bruce A. Harwood	1000 Elm Street	P.O. Box 3701	Manchester	NH	03105-3701		603-627-8139	bharwood@sheehan.com	Counsel to Source Electronics, Inc.
Sheldon S. Toll PLLC	Sheldon S. Toll	2000 Town Center	Suite 2550	Southfield	MI	48075		248-358-2460	lawtoll@comcast.net	Counsel to Milwaukee Investment Company
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Eric Waters	30 Rockefeller Plaza	24th Floor	New York	NY	10112		212-332-3800	ewaters@sheppardmullin.com	Counsel to Gary Whitney
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Malani J. Sternstein	30 Rockefeller Plaza	24th Floor	New York	NY	10112		212-332-3800	msternstein@sheppardmullin.com	Counsel to International Rectifier Corp. and Gary Whitney
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Theodore A. Cohen	333 South Hope Street	48th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-620-1780	tcohen@sheppardmullin.com	Counsel to Gary Whitney

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Theresa Wardle	333 South Hope Street	48th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-620-1780	twardle@sheppardmullin.com	Counsel to International Rectifier Corp.
Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, LLC	Robert P. Thibeaux	5353 Essen Lane	Suite 650	Baton Rouge	LA	70809		225-757-2185	rthibeaux@shergarner.com	Counsel to Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Company
Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, LLC	Robert P. Thibeaux	909 Poydras Street	28th Floor	New Orleans	LA	70112-1033		504-299-2100	rthibeaux@shergarner.com	Counsel to Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Company
Shipman & Goodwin LLP	Kathleen M. LaManna	One Constitution Plaza		Hartford	CT	06103-1919		860-251-5603	bankruptcy@goodwin.com	
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Andrew H. Sherman	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-643-7000	asherman@sillscummis.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Jack M. Zackin	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-643-7000	jzackin@sillscummis.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Valerie A Hamilton Simon Kimmelman	650 College Rd E		Princeton	NJ	08540		609-227-4600	vhamilton@sillscummis.com skimmelman@sillscummis.com	Counsel to Doosan Infracore America Corp.
Silver Point Capital, L.P.	Chaim J. Fortgang	Two Greenwich Plaza	1st Floor	Greenwich	CT	06830		203-542-4216	cfortgang@silverpointcapital.com	Counsel to Silver Point Capital, L.P.
Smith, Katzenstein & Furlow LLP	Kathleen M. Miller	800 Delaware Avenue, 7th Floor	P.O. Box 410	Wilmington	DE	19899		302-652-8400	kmiller@skfdelaware.com	Counsel to Airgas, Inc.
SNR Denton US LLP	D. Farrington Yates	1221 Avenue of the Americas	24th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-768-6700	fyates@sonnenschein.com	Counsel to Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc. and United Plastics Group
SNR Denton US LLP	Oscar N. Pinkas	1221 Avenue of the Americas	24th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-768-6700	opinkas@sonnenschein.com	Counsel to Schaeffler Canada, Inc. and Schaeffler KG
SNR Denton US LLP	Robert E. Richards	7800 Sears Tower	233 South Wacker Drive	Chicago	IL	60606		312-876-8000	rrichards@sonnenschein.com	Counsel to Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc.; Counsel to Schaeffler Canada, Inc. and Schaeffler KG
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.	G. Christopher Meyer	4900 Key Tower	127 Public Sq	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-479-8692	cmeyer@ssd.com	Counsel to Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.; Counsel for the City of Dayton, Ohio
State of California Office of the Attorney General	Sarah E. Morrison	Deputy Attorney General	300 South Spring Street Ste 1702	Los Angeles	CA	90013		213-897-2640	sarah.morrison@doj.ca.gov	Attorneys for the State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control
State of Michigan Department of Labor & Economic Growth, Unemployment Insurance Agency	Roland Hwang Assistant Attorney General	3030 W. Grand Boulevard	Suite 9-600	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-2210	hwangr@michigan.gov	Assistant Attorney General for State of Michigan, Unemployment Tax Office of the Department of Labor & Economic Growth, Unemployment Insurance Agency
State of Michigan Labor Division	Susan Przekop-Shaw	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909		517-373-2560	przekopshaws@michigan.gov	Assistant Attorney General as Attorney for the Michigan Workers' Compensation Agency
Steel Technologies, Inc.	John M. Baumann	15415 Shelbyville Road		Louisville	KY	40245		502-245-0322	jmbaumann@steeltechnologies.com	Counsel to Steel Technologies, Inc.
Sterns & Weinroth, P.C.	Michael A Spero Simon Kimmelman Valerie A Hamilton	50 West State Street, Suite 1400	PO Box 1298	Trenton	NJ	08607-1298		609-392-2100	ispecf@sternslaw.com	Counsel to Doosan Infracore America Corp.
Stevens & Lee, P.C.	Constantine D. Pourakis, Esq.	485 Madison Avenue	20th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-319-8500	cp@stevenslee.com	Counsel to Tonolli Canada Ltd.; VJ Technologies, Inc. and V.J. ElectroniX, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP	Mark A. Shaiken	1201 Walnut Street		Kansas City	MO	64106		816-842-8600	mshaiken@stinsonmoheck.com	Counsel to Thyssenkrupp Waupaca, Inc. and Thyssenkrupp Stahl Company
Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP	Nicholas J Zluticky	1201 Walnut Street	Suite 2900	Kansas City	MO	64106		816-691-3278	nzluticky@stinson.com	Counsel to ThyssenKrupp Waupaca, Inc.
Stites & Harbison PLLC	Madison L.Cashman	424 Church Street	Suite 1800	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-5200	robert.goodrich@stites.com	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Stites & Harbison PLLC	Robert C. Goodrich, Jr.	424 Church Street	Suite 1800	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-5200	madison.cashman@stites.com	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Stites & Harbison, PLLC	W. Robinson Beard, Esq.	400 West Market Street		Louisville	KY	40202		502-681-0448 502-587-3400	wbeard@stites.com loucourtsum@stites.com	Counsel to WAKO Electronics (USA), Inc., Ambrake Corporation, and Akebona Corporation (North America)
Stutman Treister & Glatt Professional Corporation	Christine M. Pajak Eric D. Goldberg Isaac M. Pachulski Esq Jeffrey H Davidson Esq	1901 Avenue of the Stars	12th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-228-5600	cpajak@stutman.com egoldberg@stutman.com ipachulski@stutman.com j davidson@stutman.com	Counsel to CR Intrinsic Investors, LLC, Elliot Associates, L.P., Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP	Richard L. Ferrell	425 Walnut Street	Suite 1800	Cincinnati	OH	45202-3957		513-381-2838	ferrell@taftlaw.com	Counsel to Wren Industries, Inc.
Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP	W Timothy Miller Esq	425 Walnut Street	Suite 1800	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-381-2838	miller@taftlaw.com	Counsel to Select Industries Corporation and Gobar Systems, Inc.
Teitelbaum & Baskin LLP	Jay Teitelbaum Ron Baskin	3 Barker Avenue	3rd Floor	White Plains	NY	10601		914-437-7670	jteitelbaum@tblawlp.com rbaskin@tblawlp.com	Counsel to Mary H. Schaefer
Tennessee Department of Revenue	Marvin E. Clements, Jr.	c/o TN Attorney General's Office, Bankruptcy Division	PO Box 20207	Nashville	TN	37202-0207		615-532-2504	agbanknewyork@ag.tn.gov	Tennessee Department of Revenue
Thacher Proffitt & Wood LLP	Jonathan D. Forstot	Two World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-912-7679	jforstot@tpw.com	Counsel to TT Electronics, Plc
Thacher Proffitt & Wood LLP	Louis A. Curcio	Two World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-912-7607	lcurcio@tpw.com	Counsel to TT Electronics, Plc
The Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.	Mr. Tetsuhiro Niizeki	6-1 Marunouchi	2-Chrome, Chiyoda-ku	Tokyo	Japan	100-8322			niizeki.tetsuhiro@furukawa.co.jp	Legal Department of The Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.
The Michaelson Law Firm	Robert N Michaelson	11 Broadway Ste 615		New York	NY	10004		212-604-0685	rnm@michaelsonlawfirm.com	Counsel to NXP Semiconductors USA, Inc.
The Timken Corporation BIC - 08	Michael Hart	1835 Dueber Ave. SW	PO Box 6927	Canton	OH	44706-0927		330-438-3000	michael.hart@timken.com	Representative for Timken Corporation
Thompson & Knight	Rhett G. Campbell	333 Clay Street	Suite 3300	Houston	TX	77002		713-654-1871	rhett.campbell@tklaw.com	Counsel to STMicroelectronics, Inc.
Thompson & Knight LLP	Ira L. Herman	919 Third Avenue	39th Floor	New York	NY	10022-3915		212-751-3045	ira.herman@tklaw.com	Counsel to Victory Packaging
Thompson & Knight LLP	John S. Brannon	1700 Pacific Avenue	Suite 3300	Dallas	TX	75201-4693		214-969-1505	john.brannon@tklaw.com	Counsel to Victory Packaging
Thompson Coburn Fagel Haber	Lauren Newman	55 East Monroe	40th Floor	Chicago	IL	60603		312-346-7500	lnewman@thompsoncoburn.com	Counsel to Aluminum International, Inc.
Thompson Hine LLP	Jennifer L Maffett	2000 Courthouse Plaza NE	10 W Second St	Dayton	OH	45402		937-443-6600	Jennifer.Maffett@ThompsonHine.com	Counsel to Rieck Group, LLC n/k/a Mechanical Construction Managers, LLC
TI Group Automotive Systms LLC	Timothy M. Guerriero	12345 E Nine Mile Rd		Warren	MI	48089		586-755-8066	tguerriero@us.tiauto.com	General Counsel and Company Secretary to TI Group Automotive Systems LLC
Todd & Levi, LLP	Jill Levi, Esq.	444 Madison Avenue	Suite 1202	New York	NY	10022		212-308-7400	jlevi@toddtlevi.com	Counsel to Bank of Lincolnwood
Todman Nachamie Spizz & Johns PC	Janice B. Grubin	425 Park Avenue	5th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-754-9400	jgrubin@tnsj-law.com	Counsel to Vanguard Distributors, Inc.
U.S. Department of Justice	Matthew L Schwartz Joseph N Cordaro	Assistant United States Attorneys	86 Chambers St 3rd Fl	New York	NY	10007		212-637-1945	matthew.schwartz@usdoj.gov Joseph.Cordaro@usdoj.gov	Counsel to Environmental Protection Agency; Internal Revenue Service; Department of Health and Human Services; and Customs and Border Protection

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Underberg & Kessler, LLP	Helen Zamboni	300 Bausch & Lomb Place		Rochester	NY	14604		585-258-2800	hamboni@underbergkessler.com	Counsel to McAlpin Industries, Inc.
Union Pacific Railroad Company	Mary Ann Kilgore	1400 Douglas Street	MC 1580	Omaha	NE	68179		402-544-4195	mkilgore@UP.com	Counsel to Union Pacific Railroad Company
United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy	Allied Industrial and Service Workers, Intl Union (USW), AFL-CIO	David Jury, Esq.	Five Gateway Center Suite 807	Pittsburgh	PA	15222		412-562-2546	djury@usw.org	Counsel to United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO
Vedder Price PC	Stephanie K Hor Chen	222 N LaSalle St Ste 2600		Chicago	IL	60601		312-609-7786	schen@vedderprice.com	Counsel to The Intec Group, Inc.
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP	Tiffany Strelow Cobb	52 East Gay Street		Columbus	OH	43215		614-464-8322	ts Cobb@vorys.com	Counsel to America Online, Inc. and its Subsidiaries and Affiliates
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz	Richard G. Mason	51 West 52nd Street		New York	NY	10019-6150		212-403-1000	RGMason@wlrk.com	Counsel to Capital Research and Management Company
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Gordon J. Toering	900 Fifth Third Center	111 Lyon Street, N.W.	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-752-2185	gtoering@wnj.com	Counsel to Robert Bosch Corporation; Counsel to Daewoo International Corp and Daewoo International (America) Corp
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Michael G. Cruse	2000 Town Center	Suite 2700	Southfield	MI	48075		248-784-5131	mcruse@wnj.com	Counsel to Compuware Corporation
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Stephen B. Grow	900 Fifth Third Center	111 Lyon Street, N.W.	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-752-2158	growsb@wnj.com	Counsel to Behr Industries Corp.
Weltman, Weinberg & Reis Co., L.P.A.	Geoffrey J. Peters	175 South Third Street	Suite 900	Columbus	OH	43215		614-857-4326	gpeters@weltman.com	Counsel to Seven Seventeen Credit Union
White & Case LLP	Glenn Kurtz Gerard Uzzi Douglas Baumstein	1155 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036-2787		212-819-8200	dkurtz@ny.whitecase.com guzzi@whitecase.com dbaumstein@ny.whitecase.com	Counsel to Appaloosa Management, LP
White & Case LLP	Thomas Lauria Frank Eaton	Wachovia Financial Center	200 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 4900	Miami	FL	33131		305-371-2700	tlauria@whitecase.com featon@miami.whitecase.com	Counsel to Appaloosa Management, LP
Whyte, Hirschboeck Dudek S.C.	Bruce G. Arnold	555 East Wells Street	Suite 1900	Milwaukee	WI	53202-4894		414-273-2100	barnold@whdlaw.com	Counsel to Schunk Graphite Technology
Wickens Herzer Panza Cook & Batista Co	James W Moennich Esq	35765 Chester Rd		Avon	OH	44011-1262		440-930-8000	jmoennich@wickenslaw.com	Counsel for Delphi Sandusky ESOP
Winston & Strawn LLP	David Neier Carey D. Schreiber	200 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10166-4193		212-294-6700	dneier@winston.com cschreiber@winston.com	Counsel to Ad Hoc Group of Tranche A & B DIP Lenders
Winthrop Couchot Professional Corporation	Marc. J. Winthrop	660 Newport Center Drive	4th Floor	Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-720-4100	mwinthrop@winthropcouchot.com	Counsel to Metal Surfaces, Inc.
Winthrop Couchot Professional Corporation	Sean A. O'Keefe	660 Newport Center Drive	4th Floor	Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-720-4100	sokeefe@winthropcouchot.com	Counsel to Metal Surfaces, Inc.
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC	Allen Grumbine	550 South Main St		Greenville	SC	29601		864-255-5402	agrumbine@wcsr.com	Counsel to Armacell
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC	Michael G. Busenkell	222 Delaware Avenue	Suite 1501	Wilmington	DE	19801			mbusenkell@wcsr.com	Counsel to Chicago Miniature Optoelectronic Technologies, Inc.
Woods Oviatt Gilman LLP	Ronald J. Kisinski	700 Crossroads Bldg	2 State St	Rochester	NY	14614		585-362-4514	rkisicki@woodsoviatt.com	
Zeichner Ellman & Krause LLP	Stuart Krause	575 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-223-0400	skrause@zeklaw.com	Counsel to Toyota Tsusho America, Inc.

EXHIBIT B

Post-Emergence Master Service List

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	PARTY / FUNCTION
United States Trustee	Brian Masumoto	33 Whitehall Street	21st Floor	New York	NY	10004-2112	212-510-0500	Counsel to United States Trustee

EXHIBIT C

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 1301
FILED BY OHIO BUREAU OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION

("NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING AS TO
PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 1301")

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 22, 2009, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (f/k/a In re Delphi Corporation, et al.) (collectively, the "Debtors"), predecessors of DPH Holdings Corp. and its affiliated reorganized debtors (the "Reorganized Debtors") objected to proof of claim number 1301 (the "Proof of Claim") filed by the Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation pursuant to the Debtors' Thirty-Fourth Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To (I) Expunge (A) Certain Pension And OPEB Claims, (B) Certain Individual Workers' Compensation Claims, (C) Certain Duplicate And/Or Amended Individual Workers' Compensation Claims, (D) Certain Untimely Individual Workers' Compensation Claims, (E) A Secured Books And Records Claim, And (F) Certain Untimely Claims, (II) Modify Certain (A) Wage And Benefit Claims, (B) State Workers' Compensation Claims, And (C) Individual Workers' Compensation Claims Asserting Priority, (III) Provisionally Disallow Certain Union Claims, And (IV) Modify And Allow Certain Settled Claims (Docket No. 17182).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing,

objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests."

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim Number 1301 Filed By Ohio Bureau Of Workers' Compensation (Docket No. 21117), the evidentiary hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") on the merits of the Proof of Claim was scheduled for April 21, 2011, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas Street, Room 118, White Plains, New York 10601-4140.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to paragraph 9(a)(ii) of the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order") and the Fourteenth Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 21098), the Claims Objection Hearing is hereby adjourned to June 23, 2011, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time).

Dated: New York, New York
April 14, 2011

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John K. Lyons
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

EXHIBIT D

Hearing Date And Time: April 21, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

REORGANIZED DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO MOTION OF TAL-PORT INDUSTRIES, LLC
FOR ALLOWANCE OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §
503(B)(1)(A) AND, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR LEAVE TO FILE A LATE
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY RULE 9006(B)

("OBJECTION TO TAL-PORT INDUSTRIES, LLC'S
MOTION TO FILE LATE CLAIM")

DPH Holdings Corp. ("DPH Holdings") and its affiliated reorganized debtors in the above-captioned cases (together with DPH Holdings, the "Reorganized Debtors"), successors of Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby object (the "Objection") to the Motion Of Tal-Port Industries, LLC For Allowance Of An Administrative Claim Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1)(A) And, In The Alternative, For Leave To File A Late Administrative Expense Claim Pursuant To Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b) (Docket No. 21195) (the "Motion"), dated April 1, 2011, filed by Tal-Port Industries, LLC ("Tal-Port"), and respectfully represent as follows:

Preliminary Statement

1. On or before June 20, 2009, the Debtors caused ten copies of the Notice Of Bar Date For Filing Proofs Of Administrative Expense (the "June 2009 Notice") to be served on Tal-Port. The June 2009 Notice stated that July 15, 2009 was the deadline for asserting an Administrative Expense Claim¹ for the period from the commencement of these chapter 11 cases through June 1, 2009 (the "Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date"). In its Motion, Tal-Port does not dispute that it received the June 2009 Notice and that it had actual knowledge of the Initial Administrative Claims Bar Date. Moreover, the invoices for which Tal-Port seeks payment range from September 20, 2007 to May 8, 2008. (Motion ¶ 5.) Yet Tal-Port waited until more than three months after the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date to file its claim and twenty months after the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date to request permission from this Court to file a late Administrative Expense Claim. Tal-Port, however, offers no evidence that would excuse its late filing under the excusable neglect standard outlined by the U.S. Supreme Court in Pioneer Inv. Servs. Co. v. Brunswick Assocs. Ltd. P'ship, 507 U.S. 380, 391-92 (1993), and as

¹ Capitalized terms not defined in this Preliminary Statement are defined below.

applied by the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (the "Second Circuit"). See, e.g., Midland Cogeneration Venture Ltd. P'ship v. Enron Corp. (In re Enron Corp.), 419 F.3d 115, 122-24 (2d Cir. 2005) (interpreting and applying Pioneer standard).

2. Although the Second Circuit has held that the reason for the delay is the most important factor under the Pioneer analysis, Tal-Port argues that the reason for its delay is because the Debtors failed "to locate the applicable records" for Tal-Port prior to the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date. (Motion ¶ 10.) Specifically, Tal-Port asserts that it failed to timely file its Administrative Claim because "Delphi could not ascertain the location of . . . missing [shipping and receiving] records and could not determine with certainty the shipments from Tal-Port to the Mission, Texas [warehouse] facility which had been paid for and which shipments had not been paid." (Motion ¶ 4.) In other words, Tal-Port appears to concede that the reason for its delay in filing its Administrative Expense Claim was a calculated decision to wait for information from the Debtors and not the result of neglect at all. Notably, when Tal-Port did file its Administrative Expense Claim months later, it was based on invoices Tal-Port had in its possession since May 2008.

3. Even if the reason for the delay was neglect, Tal-Port's rationale for ignoring the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date and filing its claim "after several failed attempts by Tal-Port to ascertain the location of the shipment and payment records" (Motion ¶ 5) does not address why it chose to ignore the plain language of the June 2009 Notice. Moreover, there is no merit to the argument that a claimant's strategic decision to ignore a court-approved bar date constitutes excusable neglect unless a chapter 11 debtor has first provided records to a claimant supporting its claim. See Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 (I) Denying United States Of America's Motion For Leave To File Late Claim And (II) Disallowing And Expunging Proof Of Claim Number 16727, at Ex. A p. 2, Mar. 6, 2008 (Docket

No. 12980) (citing Pioneer, 507 U.S. at 387-88), aff'd Mar. 24, 2009 (Docket No. 16515). Tal-Port's explanation for why the delay was not within its control is refuted by the record in this case—Tal-Port did indeed file a claim, and the Motion, without documentation provided by Delphi. Tal-Port's failure to file a timely Administrative Expense Claim was a conscious and willful decision, and was, at a minimum, without excusable neglect.

4. Furthermore, Tal-Port contends that its failure to file such a claim until three months after the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date "does not present a significant delay that would impact these proceedings in any way." (Motion ¶ 13.) Permitting Tal-Port to file a late Administrative Expense Claim at this late stage in the process would encourage other claimants in a similar position to come forward, resulting in significant prejudice to the Reorganized Debtors who possess limited resources to satisfy such claims. This is especially true where, as here, Tal-Port argues that it was unable to timely file its claim or prosecute this Motion due to the Reorganized Debtors' "inability to locate vital and applicable records." (Motion ¶ 14.)

5. Tal-Port presents no valid reason for the delay and its failure to timely submit an Administrative Expense Claim was entirely within its control. Specifically, the addresses to which copies of the June 2009 Notices were sent included the business addresses the Debtors knew were used by Tal-Port. Notwithstanding this ample and legally sufficient notice of the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date, Tal-Port did not take any action to submit a timely Administrative Expense Claim.

6. Accordingly, Tal-Port has not met its burden to establish excusable neglect. Because of its failure to timely file an Administrative Expense Claim, Tal-Port is forever barred, estopped, and enjoined from asserting an Administrative Expense Claim against the Debtors. (See Modification Procedures Order ¶ 38; Modified Plan Article 10.5; Modification

Approval Order ¶ 47.) Accordingly, this Court should not permit Tal-Port to file a late Administrative Expense Claim and the Motion should be denied.

Background

B. Tal-Port's Relationship With Delphi

7. As described in paragraphs 2-4 of the Motion, Tal-Port entered into a business relationship with Delphi prior to the October 8, 2005 petition date in these chapter 11 cases (the "Petition Date"). Tal-Port "produced certain automotive components at its Yazoo, Mississippi facility which were then picked up by Delphi transports and delivered to a warehouse facility in Mission, Texas leased by Tal-Port." (Motion ¶ 2.)

8. Tal-Port asserts that it filed for chapter 11 protection in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Mississippi on November 3, 2008 and, following its bankruptcy filing, "began efforts to collect on past-due invoices from a number of entities, including Delphi." (Motion ¶¶ 3-4.)

C. Bar Date For § 503(b) Claims Arising Through June 1, 2009

9. On June 16, 2009, this Court entered the Modification Procedures Order which, among other things, authorized the Debtors to commence solicitation of votes on their proposed modifications to their first amended joint plan of reorganization (the "Proposed Modifications"), established July 15, 2009 as the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date,² and

² The Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date was established pursuant to paragraph 38 of the Order (A)(I) Approving Modifications To Debtors' First Amended Plan Of Reorganization (As Modified) And Related Disclosures And Voting Procedures And (II) Setting Final Hearing Date To Consider Modifications To Confirmed First Amended Plan Of Reorganization And (B) Setting Administrative Expense Claims Bar Date And Alternative Transaction Hearing Date, entered by this Court on June 16, 2009 (Docket No. 17032) (the "Modification Procedures Order"). On July 15, 2009, this Court entered the Stipulation And Agreed Order Modifying Paragraph 38 Of Modification Procedures Order Establishing Administrative Expense Bar Date (Docket No. 18259) to provide that paragraph 38 of the Modification Procedures Order should be amended to require parties to submit an Administrative Expense Claim Form (as defined below) for Administrative Expense Claims for the period from the commencement of these cases through May 31, 2009 rather than through June 1, 2009.

included a form to be used to submit an administrative expense claim (an "Administrative Expense Claim Form").³ Accordingly, paragraph 38 of the Modification Procedures Order provided that:

Any party that wishes to assert an administrative claim under 11 U.S.C. § 503(b) for the period from the commencement of these cases through June 1, 2009 shall file a proof of administrative expense (each, an "Administrative Expense Claim Form") for the purpose of asserting an administrative expense request, including any substantial contribution claims (each, an "Administrative Expense Claim" or "Claim") against any of the Debtors. July 15, 2009 at 5:00 p.m. prevailing Eastern time shall be the deadline for submitting all Administrative Expense Claims (the "Administrative Expense Bar Date") for the period from the commencement of these cases through June 1, 2009.

(Modification Procedures Order ¶ 38.) In addition, paragraph 41 of the Modification Procedures Order provides that:

Any party that is required but fails to file a timely Administrative Expense Claim Form shall be forever barred, estopped and enjoined from asserting such claim against the Debtors, and the Debtors and their property shall be forever discharged from any and all indebtedness, liability, or obligation with respect to such claim.

(Id. at ¶ 41.)

10. On or before June 20, 2009, the Debtors, through KCC, served Tal-Port with a copy of the June 2009 Notice by first class mail at each of the ten addresses listed below:

Tal Port Industries LLC 2003 Gordon Ave RMT CHG 04 01 04 X7567 Yazoo City, MS 39194	Tal Port Industries LLC 2003 Gordon Ave Yazoo City, MS 39194
--	--

³ On June 20, 2009, in accordance with the Modification Procedures Order, the Debtors caused the claims and noticing agent in these chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC ("KCC"), and Financial Balloting Group LLC or their agents to transmit notices containing certain procedures for asserting an Administrative Expense Claim and a copy of the Administrative Expense Claim Form.

Tal Port Industries LLC Ecology Tek 8 Industrial Rd Prentiss, MS 39474	Tal Port Industries LLC PO Box 1253 Prentiss, MS 39474-1253
Tal Port Industries LLC PO Box 16089 Hattiesburg, MS 39404-6089	Tal Port Industries LLC 3 Parklane Blvd Ste 1220W Dearborn, MI 48126
Tal Port Industries LLC Richard Montague PO Box 1970 Jackson, MS 39215-1970	Tal Port Industries LLC Warren R Graham Esq Davidoff Malito & Hutcher LLP 605 Third Ave New York, NY 10158
Tal Port LLC PO Box 1253 Prentiss, MS 39474-1253	Tal Port LLC Accounts Payable PO Box 1253 Prentiss, MS 39474

See Affidavit Of Service Of Evan Gershbein For Solicitation Materials Served On Or Before June 20, 2009, dated June 23, 2009 (Docket No. 17267), the relevant portions of which are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

11. The June 2009 Notice provides, in relevant part, that

You must file an Administrative Expense Claim Form if you believe that you are entitled to an Administrative Expense Claim as described in 11 U.S.C. § 503, except as provided below.

ANY PARTY THAT IS REQUIRED BUT FAILS TO FILE AN ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM FORM IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NOTICE ON OR BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE BAR DATE SHALL BE FOREVER BARRED, ESTOPPED, AND ENJOINED FROM ASSERTING SUCH CLAIM AGAINST THE DEBTORS AND REORGANIZED DEBTORS, AS APPLICABLE, AND THEIR PROPERTY SHALL BE FOREVER DISCHARGED FROM ANY AND ALL INDEBTEDNESS, LIABILITY, OR OBLIGATION WITH RESPECT TO SUCH CLAIM.

(See Docket No. 17267 Ex. J.)

D. Substantial Consummation Of The Modified Plan.

12. On July 30, 2009, this Court entered its Order Approving Modifications Under 11 U.S.C. § 1127(b) To (I) First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified And (II) Confirmation Order (Docket No. 12359) (Docket No. 18707) (the "Modification Approval Order"), which approved the Debtors' Proposed Modifications (the "Modified Plan"). On October 6, 2009 (the "Effective Date"), the Debtors substantially consummated the Modified Plan. The Reorganized Debtors have emerged from chapter 11 as DPH Holdings and affiliates and remain responsible for the post-Effective Date administration of these chapter 11 cases, including the disposition of certain retained assets, the payment of certain retained liabilities as provided for under the Modified Plan, and the eventual closing of the cases.

E. Tal-Port's Untimely Administrative Expense Claim

13. On October 27, 2009, Tal-Port filed its untimely administrative expense request under 11 U.S.C. § 503(b) (the "Administrative Expense Claim") in the amount of \$89,459.02 for invoices "ranging in date from September 20, 2007 and continuing until May 8, 2008." (Motion ¶ 5.) On January 22, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed their Forty-Third Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 503(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To (I) Expunge Certain Administrative Expense (A) Severance Claims, (B) Books And Records Claims, (C) Duplicate Claims, (D) Equity Interests, (E) Prepetition Claims, (F) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (G) Pension, Benefit, And OPEB Claims, (H) Workers' Compensation Claims, And (I) Transferred Workers' Compensation Claims, (II) Modify And Allow Certain Administrative Expense Severance Claims, And (III) Allow Certain Administrative Expense Severance Claims (Docket No. 19356) (the "Forty-Third Omnibus Claims Objection"), which, among other things,

objected to the Administrative Expense Claim on the basis that the claim was not reflected in the Reorganized Debtors' books and records.

14. Upon further review of the Administrative Expense Claim, the Reorganized Debtors identified the claim as untimely. In accordance with this Court's procedures, the Reorganized Debtors filed a Notice Of Deadline To File Motion For Leave To File Late Administrative Expense Claim With Respect To Late Administrative Expense Claim Filed By Tal-Port Industries, LLC (Administrative Expense Claim No. 19804) (Docket No. 21162) (the "Notice of Deadline"). The Notice of Deadline stated that if Tal-Port wished to further prosecute its Administrative Expense Claim, Tal-Port must file a motion by April 1, 2011.

F. Filing Of The Tal-Port Motion

15. On April 1, 2011, more than twenty months after the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date and seventeen months after the Effective Date, Tal-Port filed its Motion seeking a determination that the failure to timely file an Administrative Expense Claim was the result of excusable neglect and asking this Court to permit a late filed Administrative Expense Claim.

Argument

G. Tal-Port Received Adequate Notice Of The Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date

16. In its Motion, Tal-Port does not dispute that it received the June 2009 Notice setting forth the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date. And although Tal-Port did check the box on its Administrative Expense Claim Form indicating that it had never received notices in the Debtors chapter 11 cases, not only did Tal-Port submit a reclamation demand in these cases,⁴ but a copy of the June 2009 Notice was served at the same PO box identified on the

⁴ On October 14, 2005, the law firm of Davidoff Malito & Hatcher LLP sent a reclamation demand on behalf of Tal-Port to the Debtors asserting a reclamation claim in the amount of \$76,952.04 (the "Reclamation Demand")
(cont'd)

untimely Administrative Expense Claim Form and at nine other addresses. Accordingly, the Debtors provided adequate service of the June 2009 Notice.⁵

17. Tal-Port was therefore obligated to file any administrative expense request for claims arising before June 1, 2009 by the applicable July 15, 2009 bar date, in accordance with the procedures referenced in the Modification Procedures Order and Modification Approval Order, or else it would be barred, estopped, and enjoined from asserting those claims against the Reorganized Debtors. Accordingly, this Court should deny the Motion.

H. Tal-Port Has Failed To Meet Its Burden Of Proof For Establishing Excusable Neglect

18. Because Tal-Port received proper notice of the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date, Tal-Port can obtain the relief requested in the Motion only if it meets its burden to establish excusable neglect pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b)(1). See In re R.H. Macy & Co., Inc., 161 B.R. 355, 360 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1993) ("the burden of proving 'excusable neglect' is on the creditor seeking to extend the bar date"); see also In re Dana Corp., 2007 WL 1577763, at *3 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2007) (finding that the excusable neglect analysis applies to administrative expense claims under section 503); In re DPH Holdings Corp., Hr'g Tr. at 44-45, August 20, 2009⁶ ("given the practice of treating claims and disputes related to missed bar dates for administrative claims the same way as the courts treat missed bar dates for pre-petition

(cont'd from previous page)

on account of goods sold to the Debtors prior to the Petition Date. (See Reclamation Demand, Docket No. 259.) The Reclamation Demand demanded reclamation of goods pursuant to Section 2-702 of the UCC and 11 U.S.C. § 546(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and, in the alternative, demanded "a priority claim or lien in the amount of \$76,952.04." (Reclamation Demand ¶ 3.) Tal-Port and its counsel who submitted the Reclamation Demand was served with a total of ten copies of the notice establishing the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date.

⁵ As discussed above, Tal-Port was served with the June 2009 Notice. Because the Debtors served copies of the Notices on Tal-Port directly, the Debtors' mailing of the June 2009 Notice was proper and legally sufficient. Courts uniformly presume that an addressee receives a properly mailed item when the sender presents proof that it is properly addressed, stamped, and deposited in the mail. See, e.g., Hagner v. U.S., 285 U.S. 427, 430 (1932) ("The rule is well settled that proof that a letter properly directed was placed in a post office creates a presumption that it reached its destination in usual time and was actually received by the person to whom it was addressed.").

⁶ A copy of the relevant portion of the August 20, 2009 hearing transcript is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

claims, I find . . . those cases . . . to be appropriate here, and for all intents and purposes on all fours.").

19. Under Pioneer, courts must engage in a two-prong analysis. Mich. Self-Insurers' Security Fund v. DPH Holdings Corp. (In re DPH Holdings Corp.), 434 B.R. 77, 82 (S.D.N.Y. 2010) (citing Pioneer, 507 U.S. at 388, 395). First, a creditor must first show that its failure to file a timely claim was the result of "'neglect,' as opposed to willfulness or a knowing omission." Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 (I) Denying United States Of America's Motion For Leave To File Late Claim And (II) Disallowing And Expunging Proof Of Claim Number 16727, at Ex. A p. 2, Mar. 6, 2008 (Docket No. 12980) (citing Pioneer, 507 U.S. at 387-88), aff'd Mar. 24, 2009 (Docket No. 16515). Second, the creditor "must show by a preponderance of the evidence that the neglect was 'excusable.'" Id.

20. Under the present circumstances, it does not appear that Tal-Port can satisfy even the first prong of the Pioneer test. Specifically, Tal-Port argues that the reason for the delay in filing its Administrative Expense Claim was that Tal-Port decided to wait for the Debtors to provide documentation to Tal-Port.⁷ (Motion ¶¶ 4-5, 14.) Assuming this is the case, then Tal-Port's failure to file a timely claim was the result of a willful or knowing omission—its decision to allow the bar date to pass in the hopes that the Debtors would provide documentation to Tal-Port in support of its claims prior to the bar date—as opposed to "neglect." The strategic decision to allow the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date to pass therefore cannot support a claim of excusable neglect.

21. Even if Tal-Port were able to demonstrate that its failure to timely file an administrative expense claim was the result of neglect, Tal-Port has not met its burden for

⁷ Although Tal-Port asserts that the reason for its delay in filing its Administrative Expense Claim was due to waiting for the Debtors to provide documentation to Tal-Port, the earliest e-mail referenced in the Motion dates from February 2011, over 18 months after the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date. (Motion Ex. C.)

establishing that the neglect was excusable under the test outlined by the United States Supreme Court in Pioneer, 507 U.S. 380, 395 (1993). In examining whether a creditor's failure to file a claim by the bar date constituted excusable neglect, the Supreme Court found that the factors include "[a] the danger of prejudice to the debtor, [b] the length of the delay and its potential impact on judicial proceedings, [c] the reason for the delay, including whether it was within the reasonable control of the movant, and [d] whether the movant acted in good faith." Id. at 395. The Second Circuit has held the most important factor is the reason for the delay, including whether it was within the reasonable control of the movant. In re Enron Corp., 419 F.3d at 122-24 (2d Cir. 2005). As this Court has consistently ruled on motions under Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b)(1) seeking leave to file an untimely proof of claim, a movant must first show that its failure to file a timely claim constituted "neglect," as opposed to willfulness or a knowing omission. Then, a movant must show by a preponderance of the evidence that the neglect was "excusable." See, e.g., Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 (I) Denying United States Of America's Motion For Leave To File Late Claim And (II) Disallowing And Expunging Proof Of Claim Number 16727, entered March 6, 2008 (Docket No. 12980) at Ex. A p. 2 (citing Pioneer), aff'd March 24, 2009 (Docket No. 16515).

22. Although the third factor of the Pioneer test – the reason for the delay – is often dispositive, in this case three factors weigh in favor of the Reorganized Debtors: the reason for the delay, the prejudice to the Reorganized Debtors, and the length of the delay. Accordingly, Tal-Port fails to meet the excusable neglect standard and the Motion should be denied.

(i) Reason For The Delay

23. In the Second Circuit, the reason for the delay is the most important factor and is often dispositive. See In re Enron Corp., 419 F.3d at 122-24; In re Musicland Holding Corp., 356 B.R. 603, 608 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2006) (noting that the Second Circuit emphasizes the

reason for the delay in determining excusable neglect and stating that, "[t]he other factors are relevant only in close cases" (citing Williams v. KFC Nat'l Mgmt. Co., 391 F.3d 411, 415-16 (2d Cir. 2004))).

24. Tal-Port argues that the reason for the delay in filing its Administrative Expense Claim was "not within the reasonable control of Tal-Port" because the Debtors did not provide Tal-Port with documentation supporting its claim. (Motion ¶ 14.) Yet Tal-Port was able to file its Administrative Expense Claim after the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date without any of the records it believes to be in the possession of the Reorganized Debtors, based on invoices in Tal-Port's possession since May 2008. (Motion ¶ 5.) Tal-Port's proffered reason for the delay, therefore, not only shows that the reason for Tal-Port's delay in filings its claim was not due to neglect, but also that the reason for the delay was entirely within its control.

25. Courts in the Second Circuit have "taken a hard line" in applying the Pioneer test and focus on the reason for the delay, including whether it was within the reasonable control of the movant. Silivanch v. Celebrity Cruises, Inc., 333 F.3d 355, 368 (2d Cir. 2003). "[T]he equities will rarely if ever favor a party who fail[s] to follow the clear dictates of a court rule [and] where the rule is entirely clear, we continue to expect that a party claiming excusable neglect will, in the ordinary course, lose under the Pioneer test." In re Enron Corp., 419 F.3d at 122-23. Accordingly, because Tal-Port has not provided a valid reason for its delay in filing an Administrative Expense Claim, this factor weighs heavily in favor of the Reorganized Debtors.

(ii) Danger Of Prejudice To The Debtor

26. Allowing Tal-Port to file a late claim more than seventeen months after the consummation of the Modified Plan will prejudice the Reorganized Debtors as well as other creditors in these cases who filed timely administrative expense claims. Allowing untimely claims at this time may open the floodgates to any potential claimant who failed to file an

administrative expense claim on or before the applicable administrative claim bar date. Courts often have recognized the danger of opening the floodgates to potential claimants. See, e.g., In re Enron Corp., 419 F.3d at 132 n. 2 ("courts in this and other Circuits regularly cite the potential 'flood' of similar claims as a basis for rejecting late-filed claims"); In re Kmart Corp., 381 F.3d 709, 714 (7th Cir. 2004) (noting that if court allowed all similar late-filed claims, "Kmart could easily find itself faced with a mountain of such claims"); In re Enron Creditors Recovery Corp., 370 B.R. 90, 103 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2007) ("[I]t can be presumed in a case of this size with tens of thousands of filed claims, there are other similarly-situated potential claimants. . . . Any deluge of motions seeking similar relief would prejudice the Debtors' reorganization process." (citation omitted)); In re Dana Corp., 2007 WL 1577763, at *6 ("the floodgates argument is a viable one"). Accordingly, Tal-Port's argument that their \$89,459.02 claim does not prejudice the Reorganized Debtors because the amount of the claim is minimal compared to the overall amount of the administrative expenses and would not "disrupt any completed plan or economic model upon which such plan was completed" is without merit. (Motion ¶ 12.)

27. The Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date was established to identify administrative expense claims that would be paid pursuant to the terms of the Modified Plan. Allowing Tal-Port to prevail on the Motion may inspire many other similarly situated potential claimants to file similar motions. Any potential claimant who, by its own error, failed to file a timely administrative expense claim may seek to follow Tal-Port's lead. Accordingly, establishing a precedent for allowing untimely claims without a compelling justification would greatly prejudice the Reorganized Debtors, their estates, and their creditors and undermine the Debtors' restructuring efforts.

(iii) Length Of The Delay

28. Finally, the length of the delay also favors denying Tal-Port's Motion.

Given that Tal-Port apparently had all the information included in its untimely Administrative Expense Claim by May 2008, it was aware of its Administrative Claim at that time, well in advance of the Initial Administrative Claim Bar Date. Furthermore, Tal-Port failed to file an Administrative Expense Claim until after the Effective Date, and did not seek leave of this Court to file an untimely claim for more than twenty months after the applicable bar date.

29. The Second Circuit has adopted a "strict" standard in the area of excusable neglect, Asbestos Personal Injury Plaintiffs v. Travelers Indem. Co. (In re Johns-Manville Corp.), 476 F.3d 118, 120 (2d Cir. 2007). Although Tal-Port waited months to file its claim and more than twenty months to file its Motion, Tal-Port characterizes this as a "short delay." However, Courts considering excusable neglect in this jurisdiction have characterized delays of six months as "substantial." See In re Dana Corp., 2007 WL 1577763, at *5 (citing In re Enron Corp., 419 F.3d at 125 (delay of more than six months after bar date was "substantial")). Indeed, a delay of only one day may be inexcusable. In re Singer Co., No. M-47, 2002 WL 10452, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 3, 2002) ("Although the Union's miscalculation as to the appropriate appeals deadline was in good faith and resulted in only one day's delay, not every minor error can or should be excused. Compliance with deadlines is not a game of horseshoes; close doesn't count."). Accordingly, this factor also weighs in favor of the Reorganized Debtors and further supports denying the Motion.

Conclusion

30. Tal-Port has failed to provide any evidence of circumstances justifying the extraordinary relief it seeks under the excusable neglect standard under Pioneer and has not met

its burden for establishing excusable neglect. The Motion should, therefore, be denied and the untimely Administrative Expense Claim disallowed and expunged.

WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) denying the Motion, and (b) granting them such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
April 14, 2011

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

Exhibit A

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, Evan Gershbein, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am employed by Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, the Court appointed claims and noticing agent for the Debtors in the above-captioned cases. I submit this Affidavit in connection with the service of the solicitation materials for the **First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization of Delphi Corporation and Certain Affiliates, Debtors and Debtors-In-Possession (As Modified)** [Docket No. 17030] (“the Plan”).

On December 1, 2005, the Court signed and entered an Order Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 156(c) Authorizing Retention and Appointment of Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC as Claims, Noticing and Balloting Agent for Clerk of Bankruptcy Court [Docket No. 1374] designating KCC as the official Balloting Agent.

KCC is charged with the duty of printing and distributing Solicitation Packages to creditors and other interested parties pursuant to the instructions set forth in the **Order (A)(I) Approving Modifications to Debtors' First Amended Plan of Reorganization (as Modified) and Related Disclosures and Voting Procedures and (II) Setting Final Hearing Date to Consider Modifications to Confirmed First Amended Plan of Reorganization and (B) Setting Administrative Expense Claims Bar Date and Alternative Transaction Hearing Date ("Modification Procedures Order")** [Docket No. 17032] (“Modification Procedures Order”) as entered by the Court on June 16, 2009.

The various solicitation materials consist of the following documents:

- 1) Ballot for Accepting or Rejecting First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization of Delphi Corporation and Certain Affiliates, Debtors and Debtors-In-Possession (As Modified) (Class A Secured Claims) (“Class A Ballot”) (attached hereto as Exhibit A);
- 2) Ballot for Accepting or Rejecting First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization of Delphi Corporation and Certain Affiliates, Debtors and Debtors-In-Possession (As Modified) (Class C-1 General Unsecured Claims) (“Class C-1 Ballot”) (attached hereto as Exhibit B);

- 3) Ballot for Accepting or Rejecting First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization of Delphi Corporation and Certain Affiliates, Debtors and Debtors-In-Possession (As Modified) (Class C-2 Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation Claims) (“Class C-2 Ballot”) (attached hereto as Exhibit C);
- 4) Ballot for Accepting or Rejecting First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization of Delphi Corporation and Certain Affiliates, Debtors and Debtors-In-Possession (As Modified) (Class D General Motors Corporation Claim) (“Class D Ballot”) (attached hereto as Exhibit D);
- 5) Notice of (1) Approval of Supplement; (2) Hearing on Modifications to Plan; (3) Deadline and Procedures for Filing Objections to Modifications of Plan; (4) Deadline and Procedures for Temporary Allowance of Certain Claims for Voting Purposes; (5) Treatment of Certain Unliquidated, Contingent, or Disputed Claims for Noticing, Voting, and Distribution Purposes; (6) Record Date; (7) Voting Deadline for Receipt of Ballots; and (9) Proposed Releases, Exculpation, and Injunction in Modified Plan (“Final Modification Hearing Notice”) (attached hereto as Exhibit E);
- 6) a letter from the Delphi Corporation Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (“Creditors’ Committee Letter”) (attached hereto as Exhibit F);
- 7) First Amended Disclosure Statement Supplement with Respect to First Amended Plan of Reorganization (As Modified), Modification Procedures Order and December 10, 2007 Solicitation Procedures Order, in CD-ROM format (“CD-ROM”)
- 8) Notice of Non-Voting Status with Respect to Certain Claims and Interests (“Notice of Non-Voting Status”) (attached hereto as Exhibit G);
- 9) Notice to Unimpaired Creditors of (I) Filing of Proposed Modified Plan of Reorganization, (II) Treatment of Claims Under Modified Plan, (III) Hearing on Approval of Modified Plan, and (IV) Deadline and Procedures for Filing Objections Thereto (“Unimpaired Notice”) (attached hereto as Exhibit H);
- 10) a memorandum from Kurtzman Carson Consultants to additional notice parties of ballot recipients (“Ballot Notice Party Memo”) (attached hereto as Exhibit I);
- 11) Notice of Bar Date for Filing Proofs of Administrative Expense (“Administrative Bar Date Notice”) (attached hereto as Exhibit J); and
- 12) Administrative Expense Claim Form (“Administrative Expense Claim Form”) (attached hereto as Exhibit K).

On or before June 20, 2009, I caused to be served a personalized Class A Ballot, Final Modification Hearing Notice, Creditors' Committee Letter, CD-ROM, Administrative Bar Date Notice, Administrative Expense Claim Form and a pre-addressed, postage pre-paid return envelope upon the parties listed on Exhibit L via postage pre-paid U.S. mail.

On or before June 20, 2009, I caused to be served a personalized Class C-1 Ballot, Final Modification Hearing Notice, Creditors' Committee Letter, CD-ROM, Administrative Bar Date Notice, Administrative Expense Claim Form and a pre-addressed, postage pre-paid return envelope upon the parties listed on Exhibit M via postage pre-paid U.S. mail.

On or before June 20, 2009, I caused to be served a personalized Class C-2 Ballot, Final Modification Hearing Notice, Creditors' Committee Letter, CD-ROM, Administrative Bar Date Notice, Administrative Expense Claim Form and a pre-addressed, postage pre-paid return envelope upon the party listed on Exhibit N via postage pre-paid U.S. mail.

On or before June 20, 2009, I caused to be served a personalized Class D Ballot, Final Modification Hearing Notice, Creditors' Committee Letter, CD-ROM, Administrative Bar Date Notice, Administrative Expense Claim Form and a pre-addressed, postage pre-paid return envelope upon the party listed on Exhibit O via postage pre-paid U.S. mail.

On or before June 20, 2009, I caused to be served the Final Modification Hearing Notice, Creditors' Committee Letter, CD-ROM, Administrative Bar Date Notice and Administrative Expense Claim Form upon the parties listed on Exhibit P via postage pre-paid U.S. mail.

On or before June 20, 2009, I caused to be served the Final Modification Hearing Notice, Notice of Non-Voting Status, Administrative Bar Date Notice and Administrative Expense Claim Form upon the parties listed on Exhibit Q via postage pre-paid U.S. mail.

On or before June 20, 2009, I caused to be served the Final Modification Hearing Notice, Creditors' Committee Letter, CD-ROM, Unimpaired Notice, Administrative Bar Date Notice and Administrative Expense Claim Form upon the parties listed on Exhibit R via postage pre-paid U.S. mail.

On or before June 20, 2009, I caused to be served the Final Modification Hearing Notice, Creditors' Committee Letter, CD-ROM, Notice of Non-Voting Status, Administrative Bar Date Notice and Administrative Expense Claim Form upon the parties listed on Exhibit S via postage pre-paid U.S. mail.

On or before June 20, 2009, I caused to be served the Final Modification Hearing Notice, Creditors' Committee Letter, CD-ROM, Ballot Notice Party Memo, Administrative Bar Date Notice and Administrative Expense Claim Form upon the parties listed on Exhibit T via postage pre-paid U.S. mail.

On or before June 20, 2009, I caused to be served the Final Modification Hearing Notice, Administrative Bar Date Notice and Administrative Expense Claim Form upon the parties listed on Exhibit U via postage pre-paid U.S. mail.


Dated: June 23, 2009


Evan Gershbein

State of California
County of Los Angeles

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 23rd day of June, 2009, by Evan Gershbein, proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.

Signature



Commission Expires: 10-1-09




EXHIBIT U

CreditorName	CreditorNoticeName	Address1	Address2	Address3	Address4	City	State	Zip	Country
MANUFACTURAS METALICAS Y LAMINADAS		EJE VIAL JUAN GABRIEL NO 4470				CIUDAD JUAREZ	CHI	32659	MX
MANUFACTURAS METALICAS Y LAMINADAS		FRACC JARUDO DEL NORTE				CIUDAD JUAREZ	CHI	32659	MX
MANUFACTURAS PHILLIPS		SCREW SA	POLIGONO INDUSTRIAL EITUA SN	48240 BERRIZ BIZKAIA					SPAIN
MANUFACTURAS PHILLIPS EFT SCREW SA		POLIGONO INDUSTRIAL EITUA SN	48240 BERRIZ BIZKAIA						SPAIN
MANUFACTURAS PHILLIPS SCREW SA		POLIGONO EITUA INDUSTRIALDEA	48			BERRIZ OLAKUETA		48240	ES
MANUFACTURAS PHILLIPS SCREW SA		MAFISA	CTRA DE BILBAO 77	POL IND EITRA		BERRIZ VIZCAYA		48240	SPAIN
MANUFACTURAS PHILLIPS SCREW SA		MAFISA	EITRA			BERRIZ VIZCAYA		48240	SPAIN
MANUFACTURAS PHILLIPS SCREW SA		MAFISA	EITRA C	TRA DE BILBAO 77		BERRIZ VIZCAYA		48240	SPAIN
MANUFACTURAS PHILLIPS SCREW SA		POLIGONO EITUA INDUSTRIALDEA 63				BERRIZ	48	48240	ES
MANUFACTURAS ZAPALINAME SA DE		TAL PORT INDUSTRIES LLC	CARRETERA SALTILLO ZACATECAS K	PARQUE INDSTUSTRIAL LA ANGOST		SALTILLO		25086	MEXICO
MANUFACTURAS ZAPALINAME SA DE CV		CARRETERA SALTILLO ZACATECAS KM 4 5				SALTILLO	COA	25086	MX
MANUFACTURAS ZAPALINAME SA DE CV		KM 4 5 CARRETERA SALTILLO ZACATECAS				SALTILLO	CZ	25086	MX
MANUFACTURERS & TRADERS TR CO	MARYLOU WYROBEK	ONE M & T PLAZA				BUFFALO	NY	14203	
MANUFACTURERS ALLIANCE		1525 WILSON BLVD STE 900				ARLINGTON	VA	22209-2411	
MANUFACTURERS ALLIANCE		MAPI INC	1600 WILSON BLVD STE 1100			ARLINGTON	VA	22209-2594	
MANUFACTURERS ALLIANCE GROUP	MIKE BALDWIN	1535 OAK INDUSTRIAL LN				CUMMING	GA	30041	
MANUFACTURERS ALLIANCE MAPI		INC	1600 WILSON BLVD STE 1100	ADD CHG 03 11 05 AH		ARLINGTON	VA	22209-2594	
MANUFACTURERS AND TRADERS TR CO	MARYLOU WYROBEK	ONE M AND T PLAZA				BUFFALO	NY	14203	
MANUFACTURERS BRUSH CORP		69 KING ST				DOVER	NJ	07801	
MANUFACTURERS BRUSH CORP	C/O REVENUE MANAGEMENT	ONE UNIVERSITY PLZ STE 312				HACKENSACK	NJ	07601	
MANUFACTURERS EQUIPMENT & EFT		SUPPLY	PO BOX 387	2401 LAPEER RD		FLINT	MI	48501-0387	
MANUFACTURERS EQUIPMENT & EFTSUPPLY		2401 LAPEER RD				FLINT	MI	48503-4350	
MANUFACTURERS EQUIPMENT & SUPPLY		PO BOX 67000 DEPT 271901				DETROIT	MI	48267-2719	
MANUFACTURERS EQUIPMENT & SUPPLY CO		2401 LAPEER RD				FLINT	MI	48503-435	
MANUFACTURERS EQUIPMENT AND	CUST SERVICE	2401 LAPEER RD	PO BOX 387			FLINT	MI	48501-0387	
MANUFACTURERS INDUSTRIAL GROUP		450 MIG DR				LEXINGTON	TN	38351	
MANUFACTURERS LIFE INS CO		3030 N ROCKY POINT DR W	STE 760			TAMPA	FL	33607	
MANUFACTURERS NEWS INC						EVANSTON	IL	60201-1569	
MANUFACTURERS NEWS INC	LINDA MCCANN	1633 CENTRAL ST				EVANSTON	IL	60201-1569	
MANUFACTURERS NEWS INC	LINDA MCCANN	1633 CENTRAL ST	UPTD PER GOI 05 17 05 GJ			EVANSTON	IL	60201-1569	
MANUFACTURERS OF EMISSION		1660 L ST NW	STE 1100			WASHINGTON	DC	20036-5603	
MANUFACTURERS OF EMISSION		CONTROLS ASSOCIATION	1660 L ST NW STE 1100			WASHINGTON	DC	20036-5603	
MANUFACTURERS PRODUCTS		22555 E 11 MILE RD				ST CLR SHORES	MI	48081-1385	
MANUFACTURERS PRODUCTS CO		22555 E 11 MILE RD				ST CLR SHORES	MI	48081-1385	
MANUFACTURERS PRODUCTS CO		26020 SHERWOOD AVE				WARREN	MI	48091-1252	
MANUFACTURERS PRODUCTS CO EFT		26352 LAWRENCE				CENTER LINE	MI	48015-1268	
MANUFACTURERS PRODUCTS CO EFT		22555 E 11 MILE RD				ST CLR SHORES	MI	48081-1385	
MANUFACTURERS PRODUCTS CO EFT		26352 LAWRENCE				CENTER LINE	MI	48015-1268	
MANUFACTURERS SERVICE INC		9715 KLINGERMAN ST					CA	91733	
MANUFACTURERS SERVICES		INDUSTRIES INC AKA MSI INC	19041 TAYLOR LAKE RD			HOLLY	MI	48442-8998	
MANUFACTURERS SERVICES		MSI	19041 TAYLOR LAKE RD			HOLLY	MI	48442	
MANUFACTURERS SERVICES		MSI	2519 BRANCH RD			FLINT	MI	48506	
INDUSTR									

CreditorName	CreditorNoticeName	Address1	Address2	Address3	Address4	City	State	Zip	Country
TAKATA INC RESTRAINT SYSTEMS		DEPT 77625	PO BOX 77000			DETROIT	MI	48277	
TAKATA PETRI		BAHNWEG 1	637 ASCHAFFENBURG			GERMANY			
TAKATA PETRI	RODGER D YOUNG & STEVEN SUSSER	C/O YOUNG & SUSSER	26200 AMERICAN DR	STE 305		SOUTHFIELD	MI	48034	
TAKATA PETRI AG		BAHNWEG 1	D-63743 ASCHAFFENBURG			WHITE RUSSIA			
TAKATA PETRI AG		BAHNWEG 1	D 63743 ASCHAFFENBURG			WHITE RUSSIA			BELARUS
TAKATA PETRI AG		PETRI	ASCHAFFENBURG			ASCHAFFENBURG		63743	GERMANY
TAKATA PETRI AG	OLIVER ARMAS ESQUIRE	THACHER PROFFITT & WOOD	11 WEST 42ND ST			NEW YORK	NY	10036	
TAKATA PETRI AG	RODGER D YOUNG ESQ	YOUNG & SUSSER PC	26200 AMERICAN DR	STE 305		SOUTHFIELD	MI	48034	
TAKATA PETRI AG	TOM CRANMER	MIRO WEINER & KRAMER				BLOOMFIELD HILLS	MI		
TAKATA PETRI GMBH	ACCOUNTS PAYABLE	LISE MEITNER STRASSE 3				ULM		89081	GERMANY
TAKATA PETRI INC		PO BOX 67000 DEPT 268901				DETROIT	MI	48267-2689	
TAKATA PETRI INC		422 GALLIMORE DAIRY RD				GREENSBORO	NC	27409-9725	
TAKATA PETRI INC		PO BOX 67000 DEPT 268901				DETROIT	MI	48267-2689	
TAKATA PETRI PARTS POLSKA SP ZOO	ACCOUNTS PAYABLE	UL BETLEJEMSKA 16				KRZESZOW		58-405	POLAND
TAKATA RESTRAINT SYSTEMS INC		629 GREEN VALLEY RD				GREENSBORO	NC	27408	
TAKATA RESTRAINT SYSTEMS INC		TAKATA AIRBAG GROUP	PO BOX 67000 DEPT 267001	ADD CHG PER LTR 07 28 05 LC		DETROIT	MI	48267-2670	
TAKATA RESTRAINT SYSTEMS INC		PO BOX 67000 DEPT 267001				DETROIT	MI	48267-2670	
TAKATA SEATBELT INC	ACCOUNTS PAYABLE	4611 WISEMAN BLVD				SAN ANTONIO	TX	78251	
TAKATA TK HOLDINGS INC	TODD MCCURRY	629 GREEN VALLEY RD				GREENSBORO	NC	27401	
TAKE A LABEL INC		16900 POWER DR	AD CHG PER GOI 04 11 05 GJ			NUNICA	MI	49448	
TAKENS WILLIAM		2666 INDIAN RIDGE NE				GRAND RAPIDS	MI	49505-3932	
TAKK INDUSTRIES		QUANTUMLINK	8665 E MIAMI RIVER RD			CINCINNATI	OH	45247	
TAKK INDUSTRIES INC		8665 EAST MIAMI RIVER RD				CINCINNATI	OH	45247	
TAKROURI TINA		17 WESTERN ST				JAMESTOWN	OH	45335	
TAKUMI STAMPING INC		8945 SEWARD RD				FAIRFIELD	OH	45011	
TAKUMI STAMPING INC		8945 SEWARD				FAIRFIELD	OH	45011-9109	
TAKUMI STAMPING INC EFT		8945 SEWARD				FAIRFIELD	OH	45011-9109	
TAKUMI STAMPING INC EFT		8955 SEWARD RD				FAIRFIELD	OH	45011	
TAL MATERIALS INC		712 STATE CIRCLE				ANN ARBOR	MI	48108	
TAL PORT INDUSTRIES LLC		2003 GORDON AVE	RMT CHG 04 01 04 X7567			YAZOO CITY	MS	39194	
TAL PORT INDUSTRIES LLC		2003 GORDON AVE				YAZOO CITY	MS	39194	
TAL PORT INDUSTRIES LLC		ECOLOGY TEK	8 INDUSTRIAL RD			PRENTISS	MS	39474	
TAL PORT INDUSTRIES LLC		PO BOX 1253				PRENTISS	MS	39474-1253	
TAL PORT INDUSTRIES LLC		PO BOX 16089				HATTIESBURG	MS	39404-6089	
TAL PORT INDUSTRIES LLC		3 PARKLANE BLVD STE 1220W				DEARBORN	MI	48126	
TAL PORT INDUSTRIES LLC	RICHARD MONTAGUE	PO BOX 1970				JACKSON	MS	39215-1970	
TAL PORT INDUSTRIES LLC	WARREN R GRAHAM ESQ	DAVIDOFF MALITO & HUTCHER LLP	605 THIRD AVE			NEW YORK	NY	10158	
TAL PORT LLC		PO BOX 1253				PRENTISS	MS	39474-1253	
TAL PORT LLC	ACCOUNTS PAYABLE	PO BOX 1253				PRENTISS	MS	39474	
TALADA, CARL		460 E CRONK RD				OWOSSO	MI	48867	
TALAGA RODGER		5737 2 MILE RD				BAY CITY	MI	48706-3125	
TALANI, DENNIS		41 SAGEBRUSH LN				LANCASTER	NY	14086	
TALARICO MELISSA		325 PORTSIDE CIRCLE	13			PERRYSBURG	OH	43551	
TALARICO MICHAEL		555 TRINWAY				TROY	MI	48098	
TALASKI, MATTHEW		PO BOX 192				OWENDALE	MI	48754	
TALBERT CAROL		4041 PEBBLE LN				RUSSIAVILLE	IN	46979	
TALBERT LISA		924 BURLEIGH AVE				DAYTON	OH	45407	
TALBERT PEGGY		423 MIRAGE DR				KOKOMO	IN	46901	
TALBERT ROBERT		924 BURLEIGH				DAYTON	OH	45407	
TALBERT ROY		3001 DOVE				MISSION	TX	78572	
TALBERT SHERYL B		4048 COLTER DR				KOKOMO	IN	46902-4486	
TALBERT, CAROL S		4041 PEBBLE LN				RUSSIAVILLE	IN	46979	
TALBERT, CHARLES		1409 WOODMERE				BAY CITY	MI	48708	
TALBOT CASE		YUWA PARTNERS	MARUNOUCHI MITSUI BUILDING	2 2 2 MARUNOUCHI		CHIYODA KU TOKYO		100-0005	
TALBOT ERIN		999 S WOODCOCK RD				MIDLAND	MI	48640	
TALBOT JAMES W		88 NEWFIELD DR				ROCHESTER	NY	14616	
TALBOT MICHELLE S		18402 E 99TH CT N				OWASSO	OK	74055	
TALBOT THOMAS		2020 S 7 MILE RD				MIDLAND	MI	48640-8306	
TALBOT, KYLE		11185 MARSHALL RD				BIRCH RUN	MI	48415	
TALBOTT STEVEN		303 LETTINGTON AVE				ROCHESTER	NY	14624	
TALBOTT TIMOTHY D		3931 EAGLE POINT DR				BEAVERCREEK	OH	45430-2086	
TALBOTT TOWER		1230 TALBOTT TOWER				DAYTON	OH	45402	
TALBOTT, STEVEN		303 LETTINGTON AVE				ROCHESTER	NY	14624	
TALCOTT REALTY I LP		C/O GRIFFIN COADD CHG 10 97	3800 W 80TH ST STE 920			BLOOMINGTON	MN	55431	

Exhibit B

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Case No. 05-44481-rdd

- - - - -x

In the Matter of:

DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,

Debtors.

- - - - -x

U.S. Bankruptcy Court

One Bowling Green

New York, New York

August 20, 2009

10:20 AM

B E F O R E:

HON. ROBERT D. DRAIN

U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

1 additional fact that would, I think, be implicated in the
2 litigation in that one of the principal OEMs that received the
3 CD players was General Motors, and General Motors waived a
4 substantial portion of their warranty claims in connection with
5 all the settlements that we had --

6 THE COURT: So that would --

7 MR. BUTLER: -- or dealt with.

8 THE COURT: -- that would greatly reduce the fifteen
9 million in claims damages.

10 MR. BUTLER: Arguably, Your Honor, it would. I mean,
11 you know, you'd get in -- I think you'd get into an argument
12 about fungibility at the time, but that's what 9019 is designed
13 for us to assess.

14 THE COURT: Right.

15 MR. BUTLER: And, ultimately, the judgment reached was
16 this -- the settlements before Your Honor seem to be an
17 appropriate disposition of this litigation under these
18 circumstances.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 Does anyone have anything to say on this motion?

21 All right, for the reasons stated in the motion, I'll
22 approve it as clearly a fair and reasonable settlement.

23 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, matter number 7 on the agenda
24 is the motion of Plymouth Rubber Company, LLC seeking to have
25 an administrative claim that was filed fifteen days after the

1 bar date to be deemed timely filed, at docket number 18714.

2 And counsel's here to present the motion.

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Good morning, Your Honor. James
5 Vincequerra, Duane Morris, for Plymouth Rubber Company, LLC.

6 I'll explain in a minute why I'm emphasizing the LLC. With me
7 today is Kara Zaleskas from my -- Duane Morris' Boston office.

8 As a matter of housekeeping, Your Honor, Ms. Zaleskas
9 filed a pro hac vice motion approximately two weeks ago. I
10 don't believe I saw the order on the docket yet. I would just
11 ask, to the extent she is required to appear here --

12 THE COURT: That's fine. That's granted.

13 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Thank you very much, Your Honor. A
14 number of -- a lot of trees were killed in the filings in
15 connection with this matter. We raise no less than five issues
16 as to why -- or reasons why our claim should be deemed timely
17 or should otherwise be -- or the new admin claims bar date
18 should not be deemed to apply to our claim.

19 I'm really going to focus here on two of the issues:
20 the improper notice issue first and then, to the extent that
21 Your Honor finds that the new bar date does apply to the claims
22 of Plymouth Rubber Company, LLC, the excusable -- the
23 components of excusable neglect.

24 I'll leave the balance of the arguments in our papers
25 with regard to the technicalities of the amended admin bar

1 date, or the new admin bar date, the efficacy of that
2 admitted -- or modification order and the informal notice to
3 our papers. I think they're argued fairly clearly there.

4 THE COURT: The informal proof-of-claim argument?

5 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Yes, that's right.

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MR. VINCEQUERRA: I apologize. I'll leave those to my
8 papers and reserve any statements on those for rebuttal to the
9 extent we deem it's necessary.

10 As an initial matter, do you have any questions about
11 the papers, Your Honor? I'd be happy to answer them.

12 THE COURT: Well, I've reviewed them, so -- I guess
13 the issue on whether it's Inc. or LLC, to my mind, is -- it
14 seems to me it's a non-issue because it was actually received
15 by the claimant, right? It was received?

16 MR. VINCEQUERRA: It was received the day after the
17 bar date.

18 THE COURT: Well, no, I mean it was received by the
19 individual who forwarded it on.

20 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Well, really, the -- I mean, the
21 point we're getting to is proper notice, I would imagine. And
22 a couple of points. The debtor to points to 2002(g) and
23 service on LLC first through the law firm Burns and Levinson
24 and then at the former address of the Plymouth Rubber, Inc.
25 entity. A couple of points here, Your Honor. Service was made

1 pursuant to outdated -- you know, an outdated claims --
2 outdated exhibit-and-schedules lists and based on a claim that
3 was filed by a different entity. Service was effected on
4 counsel for a different entity. Burns and Levinson LLC, which
5 makes up a bulk of the notice argument, never represented the
6 LLC entity. I mean, and it's important to understand --

7 THE COURT: Was there any -- is there anything in the
8 record about notice of Plymouth Rubber Company Inc.'s Chapter
9 11 case and reorganization by --

10 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Delphi actively participated in that
11 case, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: How do I know that?

13 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Excuse me?

14 THE COURT: How do I know that? Or will they
15 acknowledge that?

16 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Well, I can't imagine they won't
17 acknowledge it, Your Honor, as they filed stipulations in that
18 case as well as, I believe, a claim.

19 THE COURT: When did the plan confirm?

20 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Plymouth Rubber Inc. confirmed its
21 plan and emerged from bankruptcy on August 31st, 2006. And
22 maybe I should back up a little bit, Your Honor, and give you a
23 little bit of a time line here because that may be helpful.

24 THE COURT: I mean, I know they sued LLC.

25 MR. VINCEQUERRA: That -- you know, that's the rub

1 here, Your Honor. They served the objection -- the notice of
2 the new bar date on Inc. at seven different locations, or five
3 different locations, wherever it -- however many it was, served
4 counsel for Inc. Burns and Levinson has never represented the
5 reorganized debtor, and -- but they got it right when they
6 wanted to sue the new entity under the new purchase order.

7 THE COURT: But, again, Mr. Collins forwarded this
8 notice on to LLC, right?

9 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Well, you're right, Your Honor,
10 they --

11 THE COURT: And he was acting as LLC's agent, wasn't
12 he?

13 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Right, as part of the wind-down
14 staff. And if --

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. VINCEQUERRA: -- if Your Honor is -- you know,
17 wants it moved forward to the excusable neglect argument, which
18 I think is also a very good argument, I don't think the notice
19 was proper there. I think, you know -- at footnote 3 of their
20 objection is very telling. They note that for the purposes of
21 their objection they presume that LLC is the successor-in-
22 interest to Inc. I'm not aware of any case law that says you
23 can get the benefit of that assumption for notice requirements
24 under an --

25 THE COURT: But, again --

1 MR. VINCEQUERRA: -- under an admin --

2 THE COURT: -- Mr. Collins made the same presumption,
3 right? He sent the notice on to LLC?

4 MR. VINCEQUERRA: He did send it on, there's -- we do
5 not contest that fact.

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MR. VINCEQUERRA: So if you have no other questions
8 for me on the proper notice -- we don't contest the fact that
9 Mr. Collins did receive actual notice -- I can move on to
10 excusable neglect.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Debtors don't contest two components
13 of excusable neglect: They don't contest that the -- regarding
14 the length of delay or Plymouth Rubber's good faith. So,
15 really all that we're left with, Your Honor, is the prejudice
16 requirement and the reason for delay.

17 Mr. Butler indicated that a proof of claim was filed
18 fifteen or sixteen days after the bar date. That's technically
19 true. We alerted -- well, we alerted counsel for the debtor
20 the day after the bar date, asking them to deem the claim
21 timely filed; that's reflected in Ms. Zaleskas' affidavit.

22 But to get to the point of excusable neglect, Your
23 Honor, what happened here is really a perfect storm for my
24 client. The prior entity, the Inc. entity, will have business
25 relationships with Delphi as a result of the Delphi bankruptcy

1 and things that happened which, to be quite honest with you, my
2 firm was not involved with. They went into bankruptcy and
3 reorganized. When they emerged from bankruptcy, they had new
4 equity, substantially new officers and directors, effectively a
5 new entity; entered into a new purchase order agreement with
6 Delphi on January 30th, 2008. About nine months after that,
7 that's approximately a year and a half after, they emerged from
8 bankrupt -- the reorganized debtor emerged from bankruptcy.

9 Approximately nine months after entry into that
10 purchase order, Delphi sued Plymouth Rubber Company, LLC in
11 Michigan for breach of the contract, for breach of the purchase
12 order agreement. Plymouth Rubber Company, LLC counterclaimed,
13 and that's the basis of our -- those are the bases of our --
14 that's the basis of our admin claims.

15 Six days after Delphi sued Yongel (ph.) -- the Yongel
16 Company, another -- a supplier of Plymouth Rubber Company also
17 sued Plymouth Rubber Company, LLC. And in that case as well,
18 Plymouth Rubber Company filed counterclaims both against Yongel
19 and Delphi.

20 Both those cases were consolidated for mediation
21 purposes and they're in global mediation. The -- as a result
22 of the lawsuits from their principal buyer and their principal
23 supplier, Plymouth Rubber Company, LLC started its own line
24 down in October of 2008 and approximately three months after
25 that laid off all of its employees. And that's where we have,

1 you know, the sole employee of the debtor, Mr. Collins.

2 So, you know, it's important to remember -- oh, let me
3 jump -- I'm sorry, excuse me, Your Honor, let me jump to the
4 portions of excusable neglect that are in dispute: reason for
5 delay. We laid out some of these facts because, I mean,
6 clearly there is a legitimate reason for Plymouth Rubber
7 Company, LLC's one-day delay in providing notice to the debtors
8 with regard to their admin claim.

9 THE COURT: I guess my one issue with that is why
10 didn't Mr. Collins open the envelopes?

11 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Why did he open the envelopes?

12 THE COURT: Why didn't he?

13 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Why didn't he?

14 THE COURT: Right. I mean, he got them on the 9th.
15 He put them -- it doesn't say this, but I guess one can infer
16 that he didn't open them, he put them in another envelope and
17 mailed them to Mr. -- it begins with an S, let me get the right
18 name -- Mr. Schultz.

19 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Yes, that's right. His name is --

20 THE COURT: I don't understand why he didn't open the
21 envelopes, because they weren't received by Mr. Schultz until
22 six days later. I mean, particularly if he'd been waiting --
23 if they'd been -- you know, if he only checks the P.O. box
24 every two weeks, I don't understand why he wouldn't have opened
25 the envelopes.

1 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Well, I mean, it's not in his
2 papers, Your Honor, and anything I say would be pure, you know,
3 suspicion and guesswork. But the fact of the matter is that
4 the notices were not addressed to the entity that employed him.
5 They were addressed to an Inc. -- the Inc. entity. So, LLC
6 never filed a notice of appearance in this case, has never
7 appeared in this case until this dispute, and they never felt
8 that they had a need to appear in this case because they were
9 party to a post-petition contract that, under the prior plan,
10 gave them an allowed amended claim.

11 So, I mean, while it's pure, you know, circumspection
12 as to why he did not open the letter for a day and put it in
13 regular mail, the letter wasn't addressed to the entity that
14 employed him and the entity that's in wind-down.

15 THE COURT: Well, it didn't employ Mr. Schultz either,
16 did it?

17 MR. VINCEQUERRA: No, it did not. So, Your Honor, to
18 continue on with reason for the delays, you know, there was an
19 aggressive timetable here for the bar date, from the height of
20 the holiday season. We're in -- Plymouth Rubber Company, LLC
21 is in its own wind-down, is on a short staff, and I think that
22 there's ample justification here for the reason of delay -- for
23 the reason for delay.

24 To move to the other component that's in contest, as
25 to prejudice, I don't see, you know, any realistic manner of

1 prejudice here for the debtors. They learned of the claim one
2 day after the bar date. There's no contest that Ms. -- there's
3 no question that Ms. Zaleskas -- I mean, it's not contested
4 Ms. Zaleskas alerted the debtors to the claim the day after the
5 bar date. The claim was filed a week and a half to two weeks
6 later, followed shortly by this motion. The claim is an
7 unliquidated amount, is in the nature of a counterclaim, you
8 know, brought as a response to suits against Plymouth Rubber
9 Company, LLC.

10 My understanding from my reading of the plan and
11 disclosure statement in this case and some things in the news
12 is admin claims are anticipated to be paid in full, and there
13 are literally hundreds of millions of dollars of admin claims.

14 So I see very little chance for prejudice there. The
15 debtors make the argument that -- you know, the classic
16 floodgates argument that you commonly see in pioneer type of
17 cases. The facts of this case are so unique I really don't see
18 that as a reasonable prospect. Two creditors of the debtors
19 with substantially similar names but different entities, you
20 know, the claimant being in wind-down, I just don't see the
21 floodgates opening here.

22 So with that, Your Honor, if you have no questions,
23 I'll turn it over to, I guess -- is it Mr. Powlen?

24 MR. POWLEN: Yeah.

25 THE COURT: Is it -- was it a compulsory counterclaim?

1 Does it arise under the same transaction or occurrence?

2 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Rises under the same purchase order
3 agreement.

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

6 MR. BUTLER: Judge, just one moment, if you don't
7 mind.

8 (Pause)

9 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, I just want to make sure the
10 record is clear here. I have, and I think counsel will
11 acknowledge that we obtained, and I have for the Court, a
12 certification of conversion from a corporation to a limited
13 liability company of Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc., a
14 Massachusetts corporation. It's -- it is the same company. I
15 mean, we hear that it's different companies and not successors.
16 I actually have the documentation from the State of Delaware
17 Secretary of State's Office that we obtained that shows that on
18 September 1st, 2006 the same legal entity was converted from
19 one kind of corporation in Delaware to another kind of
20 corporation in Delaware.

21 So, I mean, I think the suggestion that these are
22 fundamentally different entities just is not accurate. And
23 I've got the evidence here. I don't think that counsel,
24 Mr. Vincequerra, would dispute the Secretary of State of
25 Delaware as to what the entity is, and I have that.

1 So this is the same legal entity that was converted on
2 the -- on September 1st.

3 Second, Your Honor, Mr. Vincequerra, in his argument,
4 made a major point about the fact that there was a new purchase
5 order in January of 2008. And, in fact, there was a purchase
6 order that was reissued on -- in January of 2008 after the 2006
7 reorganization to Plymouth Rubber, and it was purchase order
8 number P6850008, and it was issued to the address 500 Turnpike
9 Street in Canton, Massachusetts. That was the business address
10 that the parties New Plymouth, Plymouth LLC, whatever one wants
11 to call it, that is the address that Plymouth used with Delphi
12 in connection with the new purchase order that Mr. Vincequerra
13 referred to, and the PO was issued to that address. And the
14 notice of administrative claims bar date was -- one of the
15 places that it went to was to that address in Canton.

16 And so I think that the -- you know, the argument that
17 the notice, in addition to being actually received, it also was
18 the business address that Delphi and Plymouth Rubber Company,
19 LLC used between themselves in the January 2008 purchase order
20 and was the appropriate business address.

21 I don't think, Your Honor, that this matter should
22 turn in any respect on the issue of notice. Appropriate notice
23 was given; it was given in connection with -- to the
24 appropriate -- you know, the legal entity, which really was the
25 same entity converted, to the business address that was used in

1 the 2008 contract between the companies. And the notice was
2 actually, in fact, received.

3 I think the question is more the excusable neglect
4 question here, and I only have a few comments on that. First,
5 we acknowledged in our papers that we did receive a call from
6 counsel the day after the bar date. That isn't unusual. We
7 receive those kinds of calls fairly regularly when there are
8 bar date issues, and our response is always the same, which is
9 it's not our bar date to change, it's the Court's bar date, and
10 that we don't have any ability to change the date and people
11 need to take whatever steps they need to take to protect their
12 clients. And the same kind of -- the same discussion was had
13 with counsel for Plymouth Rubber.

14 The fact that they waited a couple of weeks -- and it
15 wasn't just a week, it was the fact they waited until after the
16 plan modification hearing to submit the proof of claim two
17 weeks later, is -- you know, kind of mystifies me as to why
18 they chose to do that. But that's not excusable neglect. They
19 could have filed something the next day. According to
20 Mr. Vincequerra's argument, it would have been -- you know, all
21 they needed to do was to file an administrative claim that
22 attached the lawsuit and that that would have done that.

23 I think when you look at the -- from the company's
24 perspective, the issue here is -- Your Honor, I think, knows
25 from the plan modification hearing and all of the pleadings

1 filed in connection with that, Delphi was on a mission over the
2 last fifteen, sixteen months since the prior plan, before it
3 was modified, hadn't gone effective, to try and develop a
4 solution for these cases that would be successful, that would
5 involve modifying the plan, emerging pursuant to a plan and
6 providing for the payment of administrative expenses that are
7 allowed. And that took an enormous amount of effort and
8 negotiation to do that. And one of the things, the processes
9 we went through in the latter part of July, was to assess all
10 of the claims that were made in connection with the bar date
11 and to evaluate those with our chief restructuring officer and
12 with the representatives of our other major stakeholders,
13 particularly with the -- some of the advisors of the DIP
14 lenders in connection with their credit bid so that we were all
15 comfortable in proceeding on the 29th here. And that was based
16 on having an assessment of what the world of administrative
17 claims was through July -- or through May 31st, understanding,
18 as Your Honor knows, under the modified plan that's now been
19 approved, the -- there's another window bar date that's going
20 to go out covering June 1st through the anticipated effective
21 date of September 30th.

22 But making the assessment of what the unpaid
23 administrative claims were from the -- from October 5, 2005
24 through May 31, 2008 was a real exercise in connection with
25 preparing for the plan modification hearing. And the fact that

1 counsel or their client chose not to file the claim for a
2 couple of weeks after they had actual notice and they had had
3 actual conversations with us I don't think fits within the
4 factors of excusable neglect.

5 That's all, Your Honor, the debtors would have to say
6 on this.

7 THE COURT: Well, let me explore that a little bit
8 more. Is there or was there an estimate of allowed
9 administrative claims that was a factor in the DIP lenders and
10 GM going forward on the 29th to propose the winning plan
11 support agreement and lead to the modified confirmation --

12 MR. BUTLER: Yes, Your Honor. You --

13 THE COURT: -- of the plan? Because, I mean, I don't
14 remember any testimony --

15 MR. BUTLER: No.

16 THE COURT: -- on, you know, some floor that -- or
17 some ceiling for administrative claims or anything.

18 MR. BUTLER: No, there's not, Your Honor. There was
19 not. What Your Honor may recall was that one of the charts
20 that we put up and went through explained how the
21 administrative liabilities were going to be allocated among the
22 parties.

23 THE COURT: Right.

24 MR. BUTLER: It was intentional that -- and one of the
25 things we fought for in the MDA was not to have dollar cap

1 limitations. There were, in fact -- that was a subject of
2 protracted negotiation, actually, as to whether or not there
3 would be limitations and what those liabilities would be and,
4 instead, the agreement was to do it by category. And Your
5 Honor saw those categories allocated between the GM entity, the
6 DIPCo entity and DPH Holdings, the reorganized entity.

7 THE COURT: Right.

8 MR. BUTLER: And there was also a focus, and Your
9 Honor may recall that Mr. Stipp, in his sworn testimony,
10 provided in his declaration a fair amount of discussion about
11 the assessment of administrative claims as it related to DPH
12 Holdings' ability to be able to deal with its -- or what it
13 needed to satisfy as it moved forward. And so there was an
14 assessment that went on, there was -- Mr. Stipp did make those
15 evaluations and make those assessment, and there was that, if
16 you will, sort of informal feasibility discussion among the
17 parties. Ultimately, that didn't arise to the level, Your
18 Honor, of having -- beyond the sworn testimony, there wasn't
19 any controversy at the plan modification hearing about it
20 because ultimately it had been negotiated out.

21 THE COURT: So which of the three entities would be
22 responsible for any affirmative recovery here?

23 MR. BUTLER: Without prejudicing the estate, because I
24 may get this wrong, but my sense is that this is a retained
25 liability of DPH Holdings. I don't know that this -- and the

1 reason I say that is because this supplier no longer does
2 business with the company. This is a -- but I'd have to check
3 that in terms of -- go back and check that under the plan in
4 the negotiations. But this is a supplier -- this is a former
5 supplier who, from the company's perspective, failed to live up
6 to its obligations under the purchase order, and it required
7 Delphi to incur a very substantial expense in re-sourcing from
8 the supplier who failed to live up to the terms of their
9 contract in the company. And that's only why we sued them, and
10 we re-sourced the product.

11 So I think the re-sourced product and the
12 administrative liabilities associated with them go to, in fact,
13 DIPCo, but I think that the exposure under this litigation is
14 likely a DPH Holding obligation. But I'd have to confirm that,
15 Judge. That's my best recollection.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Well --

17 MR. BUTLER: And as you know, DPH Holdings --

18 THE COURT: It wouldn't be -- I guess it wouldn't be a
19 GM one because this isn't a GM plant --

20 MR. BUTLER: No, it's not -- no, no, it's -- and
21 that's what I'm saying to you. My -- and I think Ms. Kraft
22 (ph.) is here from the company and we just told her about
23 this -- my believe is the retained liability for the litigation
24 exposure would be DPH Holdings. And the supplier contract for
25 what was the re-sourced contract, which is with another entity,

1 that obligation and the administrative claims associated with
2 it, that went to DIP Holdco, or will go to DIP Holdco.

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MR. BUTLER: I think that's the proper -- at least
5 that was the philosophy behind the negotiation at the time.

6 THE COURT: All right. And it looks like to me the
7 counterclaim -- you can correct if I'm wrong -- the
8 counterclaim just seeks monetary damages, right? It doesn't
9 seek specific performance or anything like that?

10 MR. BUTLER: That's correct.

11 THE COURT: It's an unliquidated claim. Have there
12 been any discussion as to what the damages are asserted to be
13 as far as the counterclaim? Either one of you --

14 MR. BUTLER: There was, Your Honor -- I'm advised, and
15 Mr. Vincequerra may know, I was advised it was a mediation. I
16 don't know what was --

17 THE COURT: Right.

18 MR. BUTLER: -- put on the table at the mediation.

19 THE COURT: I mean, I don't want you to reveal
20 settlement proposals, but, just, has there been a settlement of
21 what the damages could be?

22 MR. VINCEQUERRA: Yes, Your Honor, that's the irony of
23 this whole thing for my client is that while this bar date
24 procedure has been going on, my client has been across the
25 table --

1 THE COURT: No, I know there's been a mediation. I'm
2 just trying to figure out what --

3 MR. VINCEQUERRA: No, there have been -- you know, a
4 mediation is fairly far along. There have been numbers
5 exchanged.

6 THE COURT: I don't want to hear settlement proposals.
7 What I'm focusing on here is, on the issue of prejudice, you
8 had made a good point that these claims are going to be paid in
9 full under the modified plan. The point I've just been
10 exploring with Mr. Butler is who's going to be paying them. If
11 it is, as it would appear to me to be the case just from the
12 nature of the claim and the MDA, the remaining holding company,
13 the debtor wind-down company, then I did make a conclusion as
14 part of my ruling approving the modification of the plan that
15 that modification was feasible, and that was premised upon the
16 testimony about the likely amount of administrative claims and
17 the funding of the successor entity and the like.

18 So the reason I'm asking this question is to find out
19 how large your claim is. It wasn't taken into account in that
20 testimony, and it was a large claim that may affect the
21 prejudice calculation. I just don't know. I mean, it's an
22 unliquidated claim. I don't know whether it's large or not but
23 whether it's, you know, something that, for example, pales in
24 comparison to the debtors' claim.

25 So I'm not asking you about settlement discussions;

1 I'm asking what's been asserted, unless you want to tell me
2 what you think the realistic number is. But that's up to you.

3 MR. VINCEQUERRA: It's difficult to say , Your Honor,
4 because, to be quite honest with you, I haven't been involved
5 in the mediation. I understand from our mediation statement
6 that that counterclaim number that we've been stuck at is
7 roughly twenty million dollars. Again, that's as a
8 counterclaim that would be, obviously, offset against any
9 successful recovery that they have against us.

10 THE COURT: Although it would seem to be it's
11 either/or, right? Unless you settle it, either they breached
12 or you breached. So I'm not sure there'd be much of an offset.

13 Okay. All right.

14 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, that's all the debtors
15 have --

16 THE COURT: Well --

17 MR. BUTLER: -- unless you had a question.

18 THE COURT: -- let me ask you, though, based upon a
19 twenty million dollar claim, how does that affect the -- was
20 any liability for this taken into account in the declarations
21 in support of the modification of the plan?

22 MR. BUTLER: My understanding is the answer to that
23 question is no, there was no money allocated to this amount
24 through the -- whether the claims process was evaluated.

25 The -- and, you know, Your Honor, there has been a

1 wide variety of lawsuits started, stopped in hiatus, since
2 October of 2005. And the debtors relied on the administrative
3 claims process here that went out to everybody as -- to catch
4 the claims that people were going to assert as part of the --
5 to understand as part of the plan modification process.

6 THE COURT: And, again, this claim came in after the
7 plan modification hearing.

8 MR. BUTLER: Correct. It came in on the June 30 -- on
9 July 30th --

10 THE COURT: The hearing was on the 29th.

11 MR. BUTLER: -- and where the hearing was July 29th.
12 And the assessment was actually made in the days -- we spent
13 three or four days leading up to the July 29th hearing going
14 over this evaluation and assessment.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. BUTLER: And I think -- you know, I don't have
17 Mr. Stipp here, Your Honor, but Ms. Kraft is here and she works
18 closely with Mr. Stipp. I think that Mr. Stipp would tell you
19 that if he had an extra twenty million dollar -- if in fact,
20 taking their -- I think we disagree vigorously with the claim,
21 but if you add another twenty million dollars of litigation
22 exposure to the pot, would that be material, I think Mr. Stipp
23 would say yes, it's material.

24 THE COURT: Well, what was funding again for --

25 MR. BUTLER: Remember, the funding from -- I think it

1 was -- the entire funding from General Motors was fifty
2 million; plus, we had the plants that were retained which we
3 could sell off; plus, we had --

4 THE COURT: But those are more dogs and cats than --

5 MR. BUTLER: They were.

6 THE COURT: Right.

7 MR. BUTLER: Plus, we had the avoidance actions, to
8 the extent that there's collectability on some of the avoidance
9 actions. And there were some other -- there were some -- I
10 think some other MRA payments, I think, from General Motors or
11 a few other sources of revenue. But it was calibrated. It
12 was -- you know, it was designed, as you know, to provide for
13 an efficient disposition of all of those assets and remediation
14 of the -- of some of the other issues and payment of the
15 liabilities. So I think Mr. Stipp would argue that twenty
16 million was material in that calculation.

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. BUTLER: Thanks, Judge.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. POWLEN: Just one minor point, Your Honor.

21 Mr. Butler -- I don't know if he passed it up, because I didn't
22 see him pass it up, but makes much of the fact that the
23 entities -- the LLC entity and the Inc. entity are the same. I
24 know Your Honor said actual -- there was -- you know, the
25 notice was received, but they're the same entities. And I know

1 Mr. Butler's familiar with the concept of a fresh start and a
2 reorganized debtor, but they're the same entities as they would
3 be in any post-effective date debtor that has entirely new
4 equity and has a fresh start in a bankruptcy. That this was
5 not accomplished through a 363 sale and a transfer of assets
6 but rather an infusion of equity and a stock deal doesn't
7 change the fact that at the end of the day they were dealing
8 with a newly born entity.

9 Other than that, Your Honor, I have nothing further.
10 Thank you very much for your time.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 Is -- neither Mr. Collins nor Mr. Schultz is here,
13 right?

14 MR. POWLEN: No, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: They're not present?

16 MR. POWLEN: No, Your Honor. We had discussions with
17 Skadden, and prior to the hearing we agreed that we would just
18 rely on the affidavits.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 Okay, anyone else?

21 Okay, I have before me a motion by Plymouth Rubber
22 Company, LLC for an order deeming its administrative expense
23 claim timely filed or for related relief. The origin of this
24 dispute is that, in connection with proceeding to obtain the
25 modification and ultimate consummation of its confirmed but

1 unconsummated Chapter 11 plan, Delphi Corporation and its
2 affiliated debtors sought approval of an administrative claims
3 bar date for the Chapter 11 period through May of 2009. The
4 debtors' confirmed Chapter 11 plan was not consummated because,
5 asserting breaches, the plan investors under that plan refused
6 to close in April of 2008. That left Delphi with a significant
7 hole in the required funding for the confirmed plan. Delphi
8 then spent close to a year dealing with ways to plug that hole
9 as well as to address the further deterioration in the
10 financial markets and in their perception of Delphi's value,
11 which led to a substantially different approach, ultimately, to
12 their exit from Chapter 11 under a Chapter 11 plan.

13 The debtors, in assessing their ability to emerge from
14 Chapter 11, and having entered into an agreement with an entity
15 called Platinum, as well as General Motors, that would have
16 provided for that combined entity's acquisition of most of the
17 debtors' business operations in return for sufficient cash to
18 deal with a portion of the administrative claims against the
19 debtors, plus stock -- I'm sorry, plus forms of contingent
20 consideration -- having entered into that transaction, the
21 debtors determined that they needed prompt means to calculate
22 the outstanding administrative claims other than the debtor-in-
23 possession financing claims against them, and, therefore,
24 obtained from the Court, in connection with establishing
25 procedures for consideration of the proposed modification to

1 the Chapter 11 plan involving GM and Platinum, the
2 administrative claims bar date.

3 The bar date notice provided for, for purposes of a
4 bar date, fairly short notice, but given the timing constraints
5 that the debtors faced, including, in essence, a week-to-week
6 extension of enforcement of remedies under the DIP facility and
7 a clear and short deadline from GM and Platinum, such notice
8 was appropriate under the circumstances.

9 The debtors sent out the notice and received timely
10 administrative claims from approximately 2,400 claimants. The
11 claims procedures motion that is on the calendar for later
12 today states that approximately one billion dollars of
13 administrative claims were asserted in those proofs of claim,
14 plus unliquidated amounts.

15 Ultimately, the proposed modified plan was itself
16 modified, although not materially so for purposes of the issues
17 before me today -- and instead of Platinum acquiring
18 significant assets under the plan, along with GM, Platinum was
19 replaced by the debtors after an auction process by a
20 consortium of the debtor-in-possession lenders. And that
21 group, plus GM, entered into an MDA with the debtors, which
22 formed the basis for the modified plan. The Court held a
23 hearing on that modification and approved it on July 29th, two
24 weeks after the administrative claims bar date.

25 The rough structure of the plan provides for the

1 continuation of most of the debtors' businesses, either in the
2 hands of a GM acquisition company with respect to certain
3 facilities that primarily manufacture parts for GM vehicles, as
4 well as other assets that would go to the DIP lender
5 acquisition group.

6 The third split of the debtors' assets would be
7 retained by the debtors, since neither GM nor the DIP
8 acquisition group wanted to acquire them. In addition, that
9 entity that would continue to hold those assets would receive a
10 cash payment by GM to enable that entity to pay administrative
11 claims against it that were not being assumed in connection
12 with the purchase of ongoing operations by the DIP acquisition
13 vehicle and GM acquisition vehicle. And that amount of cash
14 was determined by the debtors in consultation with various
15 constituents, including GM, to be sufficient to have the
16 surviving debtor entity meet its obligations under the plan,
17 including the payment of allowed administrative claims.

18 The Court took testimony on that aspect of the
19 proposed plan modification in the form of an affidavit by
20 Mr. Stipp, in which he went through his analysis of likely
21 sources and uses of cash to pay that entity's administrative
22 claims. No one cross-examined Mr. Stipp. And based upon my
23 review of the MDA, the modified plan and the affidavits
24 submitted in support thereof, I concluded that the plan, as
25 modified, was feasible: that is, that it was not likely to be

1 succeeded by a liquidation under Chapter 7 and that it could be
2 performed, including the payment of administrative claims, as
3 contemplated by the plan.

4 The debtors sent out notice of the administrative
5 claims bar date as required by my order establishing the bar
6 date, and notice was actually received by Plymouth Rubber
7 Company, Inc. on -- it is acknowledged to have been received by
8 Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. on July 9, 2009. That's set
9 forth in the affidavit in support of Plymouth's motion of
10 Mr. Collins.

11 The debtors sent that notice to the address in their
12 post-petition purchase order between them and Plymouth Rubber
13 Company, LLC -- the same location. The address on the envelope
14 was to Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc., which had been the entity
15 with which the debtors had done business prior to Plymouth's
16 Chapter 11 reorganization.

17 Mr. Collins, as I said, received the notice, which was
18 also sent to numerous other locations to Plymouth Rubber
19 Company, Inc., including to the counsel that filed the proof of
20 claim on behalf of Inc. in the Chapter 11 case. Mr. Collins
21 did not open the notice but, instead, on July 10th, put it, and
22 apparently some other correspondence, in an envelope and
23 forwarded it to Mr. Schultz, who is described in the Collins
24 affidavit as a representative of Plymouth Rubber, LLC's parent,
25 or at least an affiliate, retained to manage Plymouth's

1 affairs, Versa Capital Management, Inc., which also manages the
2 funds which directly own the equity interest in Plymouth
3 Rubber.

4 Although mailed on July 10th, according to
5 Mr. Collins, the notice was not received by Mr. Schultz until
6 July 16th, at which point Mr. Schultz, unlike Mr. Collins,
7 opened the package, read the notice and immediately contacted
8 the debtors, seeking an extension of the bar date, which was
9 not agreed to.

10 It's undisputed that Plymouth did not file the proof
11 of claim and/or seek approval of an extension until July 30th,
12 after the plan modification hearing.

13 Plymouth requests that the Court consider its
14 administrative claim timely on a number of different grounds,
15 although most of the focus, properly so, of this hearing, has
16 been on the ground of excusable neglect. Before I deal with
17 that issue and those factors, let me briefly deal with the
18 other bases for Plymouth's requested relief.

19 First, Plymouth contends that the Court did not have
20 power to establish the administrative claims bar date, given
21 the treatment of the administrative claims bar date in the
22 original plan and the confirmation order. The plan itself
23 contemplated, in the definition of "administrative claim," the
24 potential for establishing a different administrative claims
25 bar date than was set forth in the plan, which was a date

1 forty-five days after the confirmation of the plan. The plan
2 also reserved fully the debtors' rights in the event that the
3 plan did not go effective, which clearly was the case.

4 That plan, as I noted, contemplated a very different
5 outcome for creditors than the current modified plan. Not only
6 was there no issue of the payment of all administrative claims,
7 requiring no determination, as a practical matter, by the Court
8 as to feasibility for potential failure to cover administrative
9 claims, but also the plan provided for full payment of
10 unsecured creditors at a deemed plan value, and a substantial
11 return to shareholders. Consequently, the plan's
12 administrative claims bar date provision was appropriate for
13 that structure -- again, one where there was really no issue as
14 to whether the debtors would be able to pay all asserted
15 administrative claims.

16 The confirmation order similarly provided for a forty-
17 five day post-confirmation administrative claims bar date and
18 stated that it would govern in light of -- in the event of a
19 conflict between the plan and the confirmation order. And
20 clearly it was an extant order. However, the debtors' need to
21 set an earlier bar date, given the changes to their plan, was
22 clear and required the establishment of a different bar date,
23 clearly, in the context of the deadlines they were facing. The
24 Court considered such a request to be appropriate, both in
25 light of the rights that the debtors reserved for themselves

1 under the confirmed but not consummated plan, as well as under
2 the Court's ability to amend the confirmation order, which on
3 this point, was quite clearly outdated.

4 Therefore, I believe that Plymouth's argument that the
5 Court exceeded its authority in setting a new administrative
6 claims bar date order, and that Delphi and the other parties
7 should be governed in this respect by the terms of the
8 confirmed plan and the confirmation order entered in 2008, is
9 not well taken and is denied.

10 Next, Plymouth argues, as a matter of due process,
11 that the notice to it of the administrative claims bar date was
12 deficient. It does so on two grounds. The first is that it
13 asserts the debtors were involved in post-petition litigation
14 commenced by the debtors in state court in Michigan against
15 Plymouth as well as subsequent litigation commenced by a third
16 party in Massachusetts. The second is that the debtors knew
17 that Plymouth was represented by counsel in that litigation,
18 and, therefore, that in addition to the other places that the
19 debtors provided Plymouth with notice, they should have
20 provided notice to litigation counsel in the Michigan and
21 Massachusetts litigation. It should be noted that those counsel
22 did not file a notice of appearance in the Chapter 11 case and
23 that, in fact, they have not appeared in the Chapter 11 case
24 until this current motion.

25 The motion relies upon, primarily, on this point, In

1 re Grand Union Company, 204 B.R. 864 (Bankr. D. Del. 1997), in
2 which the bankruptcy court concluded in that case that the
3 debtors' direct mailing of notice to personal injury tort
4 claimants represented by counsel was inadequate notice of the
5 bar date, and that the notice should have been provided to the
6 personal injury counsel that Grand Union was dealing with.
7 That case flies in the face of a number of cases in the Second
8 Circuit, including in the Southern District of New York, which
9 state that notice requirements under the Bankruptcy Code,
10 including in respect of bar dates (and notices of similar
11 consequence), do not have to be sent to counsel representing
12 the claimant, but may instead only be sent -- or need only,
13 instead, be sent to the claimant itself. See, for example, In
14 re Brunswick Baptist Church v. Brunswick Baptist Church, 2007
15 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3319 (N.D.N.Y. Jan. 16, 2007); In re
16 Alexander's Inc. 176 B.R. 715 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1995); In re
17 R.H. Macy & Company Inc. 161 B.R. 355 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1993);
18 and Dependable Insurance Company v. Horton, 149 B.R. 49 (Bankr.
19 S.D.N.Y. 1992).

20 I should note further that Judge Walsh, in the Grand
21 Union case, made it clear that he was focusing on the unique
22 facts before him, where he found that the claimants who
23 received the notice were unsophisticated and that all dealings
24 in respect of their claims had previously been through their
25 respective counsel. Clearly, Plymouth is not an

1 unsophisticated tort claimant here.

2 Consequently, based on the rationale of the Brunswick
3 Church case and the other cases I've cited, I do not believe
4 that the debtors were required to give notice to counsel of
5 record in the pending litigation, particularly as, as I noted,
6 that counsel had not appeared in the Chapter 11 case.

7 In addition, Plymouth contends that it filed through
8 its counterclaim in the pending non-bankruptcy litigation an
9 informal proof of claim that should be recognized by the Court,
10 and clearly that that proof of claim was timely in that it was
11 well before -- the counterclaim was filed well before the
12 expiry of the administrative claims bar date. The argument,
13 however, again runs afoul of case law in this district and the
14 majority of the cases, including at the circuit court level
15 elsewhere: that is, that the document giving rise to the
16 informal proof of claim was not filed in this Court, but
17 rather, instead, only in the courts in Michigan and in
18 Massachusetts.

19 I should note that the cases that deal with this issue
20 are generally dealing with pre-petition claims. But given the
21 practice of treating claims and disputes related to missed bar
22 dates for administrative claims the same way as the courts
23 treat missed bar dates for pre-petition claims, I find those
24 claims to be analogous -- those cases, I'm sorry, to be
25 appropriate here, and for all intents and purposes on all

1 fours. For the close analogy see -- between disputes in
2 respect of late administrative claims and disputes in respect
3 of late pre-petition claims, see *In re PT-1 Communications Inc.*
4 386 B.R. 402 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2007).

5 The informal proof of claim rule, as far as I can see,
6 has always, in the Second Circuit and in the Southern District,
7 been applied to claims that were not filed in the form of a
8 proof of claim, but that were filed in the bankruptcy court,
9 that show an intention to make a demand for money from the
10 debtors' estate. See *In re G.L. Miller & Company Inc.* 45 F.2d.
11 115 (2d Cir. 1930), as well as the statement of the four-factor
12 test -- factor one of which is that the claim, the documents
13 have been timely filed with the bankruptcy court and had become
14 part of the judicial record -- in *In re Enron Corporation* 370
15 B.R. 90 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2007).

16 The rationale for this, again, is the collective
17 nature of a bankruptcy case and the need to put more than just
18 the debtor on notice of the existence of the claim. See also
19 *In re M.J. Waterman & Associates Inc.* 227 F.3d. 604 (6th Cir.
20 2000), and *In re Trans World Airlines Inc.* 182 B.R. 102 (D.
21 Del. 1995), which was reversed in part and affirmed in part,
22 reversed on other grounds, at 96 F.3d. 687 (3d Cir. 1996).
23 Consequently, I don't believe that the complaint or the
24 counterclaim asserted in the Massachusetts District Court
25 action and the Michigan State Court action would constitute an

1 informal proof of claim.

2 Lastly, the movant contends that notice was improper
3 because it was delivered, albeit at the same address, to
4 Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. as opposed to Plymouth Rubber
5 Company, LLC. The change in name resulted from the Chapter 11
6 reorganization of Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc., which is the
7 entity that had filed the proof of claim against the debtor's
8 estate. The emerged, reorganized debtor changed its name to
9 Plymouth Rubber Company, LLC as the successor to Plymouth
10 Rubber Company, Inc., and that was the entity, again at the
11 same address, with which the debtor contracted post-petition
12 under the contract that is now the subject of the dispute in
13 Michigan and Massachusetts.

14 Plymouth contends that because the notice was sent to
15 "Inc." as opposed to "LLC," albeit at the same address, that
16 notice was constitutionally deficient. Under the facts before
17 me, however, I do not accept that argument. As set forth in
18 Mr. Collins' affidavit and in the motion itself, Mr. Collins
19 was the sole employee of Plymouth Rubber after it had
20 determined to wind down its affairs. He was retained by the
21 managing - or manager for Plymouth Rubber, LLC as well as the
22 manager for other investments owned by the fund that owned the
23 debtor, Versa Capital Management. And I believe that, as
24 evidenced by the fact that Versa opened the notice and that
25 Versa had hired Mr. Collins to look after LLC's affairs, and

1 that, therefore, he was acting as Versa's agent in this matter,
2 there was sufficient actual notice as of July 9th for due
3 process purposes.

4 The issue then comes down to whether the late filing
5 of the proof of administrative claim should be permitted under
6 Bankruptcy Rule 9006 for excusable neglect. A claims bar date
7 is an important milestone in most Chapter 11 cases, and clearly
8 here the administrative claims bar date was an important
9 milestone in this case for the reasons that I've already
10 stated. See First Fidelity Bank N.A. v. Hooker Investments
11 Inc.(In re Hooker Investments Inc.), 937 F.2d. 833, 840 (2d
12 Cir. 1991), in which the Court said, "A bar order does not
13 function merely as a procedural gauntlet, but as an integral
14 part of the reorganization process." See also In re Musicland
15 Holding Corporation, 356 B.R. 603, 607 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2006).

16 In most cases, the filing of a bar date order and the
17 existence of a bar date enables the debtor and other
18 constituents to determine whether the projected payments under
19 a plan will actually satisfy the parties' expectations; and, in
20 particular, an administrative claims bar date enables the
21 parties to determine whether the plan they're proposing is
22 feasible, in that administrative claims need to be paid in full
23 for a plan to be confirmed and consummated.

24 Nevertheless, the bankruptcy court may enlarge the
25 time for filing proofs of claim where the failure to act was

1 the result of excusable neglect, under Bankruptcy Rule
2 9006(b)(1). The U.S. Supreme Court has adopted a two-part
3 framework for the movant to establish its excusable neglect
4 under Rule 9006(b)(1). The movant has the burden in this
5 regard. See Midland Cogeneration Venture Limited Partnership
6 v. Enron Corporation 419 F.3d. 115, 121 (2d Cir. 2005).

7 That framework was set forth in Pioneer Investment
8 Services Company v. Brunswick Associates Limited Partnership,
9 507 U.S. 380 (1993). First a failure to file the proof of
10 claim must have been caused by neglect, which the Court defined
11 as inadvertence, mistake or carelessness, including intervening
12 circumstances beyond the party's control. Id. at 388. A
13 tactical, or simply a knowing, decision not to file a timely
14 claim will not suffice.

15 Second, the movant's neglect must have been excusable,
16 which is to be determined in the exercise of the Court's
17 equitable discretion taking into account all relevant
18 circumstances surrounding the failure to file a timely claim,
19 id. at 395, guided, however, by the following four factors:
20 "the danger of prejudice to the debtor; the length of the delay
21 and its potential impact on judicial proceedings; the reason
22 for the delay, including whether it was within the reasonable
23 control of the movant; and whether the movant acted in good
24 faith." Id.

25 The Second Circuit has taken a "hard line" when

1 applying the Pioneer factors to motions under Rule 9006(b)(1)
2 and other federal rules premised on excusable neglect. Again,
3 see *In re Enron Corporation* 419 F.3d at 122. Although all four
4 Pioneer factors should be considered, the Second Circuit places
5 the greatest weight on the reason for the delay and whether it
6 was in the movant's reasonable control. *In re Musicland*
7 *Holdings Corp.* 356 B.R. at 607.

8 In the normal case, the movant has acted in good
9 faith, for example, and that's the case here. Thus, the Second
10 Circuit said, "In the typical case, three of the Pioneer
11 factors, the length of the delay, the danger of prejudice and
12 the movant's good faith, usually weigh in favor of the party
13 seeking the extension. We and other circuits have focused on
14 the third factor, the reason for the delay, including whether
15 it was within the reasonable control of the movant. The
16 equities will rarely, if ever, favor a party who fails to
17 follow the clear dictates of a Court rule. Where the rule is
18 entirely clear, we continue to expect that a party claiming
19 excusable neglect will, in the ordinary course, lose under the
20 Pioneer test." *In re Enron Corporation* 419 F.3d at 122-23; see
21 also *Canfield v. Van Atta Buick/GMC Truck Inc.* 127 F.3d 248,
22 250-51(2d Cir. 1997).

23 Factors other than the reason for the delay usually
24 are relevant, therefore, only in close cases. *In re Musicland*
25 *Holdings Corporation* 356 B.R. at 608. This is a somewhat close

1 case, in that I accept that Plymouth Rubber was clearly in
2 wind-down mode, where it only had one employee, who, consistent
3 with the very limited nature of its operations (which from
4 Mr. Collins' affidavit, which is uncontroverted, pertained
5 almost entirely to the two pending litigations) meant that
6 Mr. Collins checked the post office box only roughly once every
7 two weeks. In addition, the time for the bar date notice was
8 shortened here from the normal time that would usually be
9 provided. And, finally, there was potentially some room for
10 confusion, given that the notice was addressed to "Inc." as
11 opposed to "LLC."

12 On the other hand, I find it very hard to understand
13 why, given Mr. Collins' sole function, which appears to be to
14 monitor the mail, and the fact that he did so only roughly once
15 every two weeks, he did not open the mail, but instead simply
16 forwarded it to Mr. Schultz of Versa. It would not seem to me
17 that he should have done that, given that Plymouth had
18 established the P.O. box that he checked as opposed to setting
19 up an automatic forwarding from Plymouth's address to Versa's.
20 It would appear, instead, to me appropriate for Mr. Collins to
21 have acted as someone who actually read the mail as opposed to
22 as a second mailman for delivery purposes.

23 So, clearly, it was within Plymouth's control to have
24 had notice of the bar date, at least by July 9th. Moreover,
25 Plymouth did not file its claim until after the hearing on plan

1 modification, which it needn't have waited for. It had the
2 claim or was aware of the late claim issue on July 16th, but,
3 nevertheless, waited two weeks thereafter to do so. So, all
4 things being considered, it appears to me that while this is a
5 somewhat close case, the neglect here was largely within the
6 control of Plymouth.

7 Secondly, while the time between the bar date and the
8 filing of the claim was relatively short, I conclude that there
9 was prejudice to the debtor and other parties that resulted
10 from the delay. If, in fact, the responsibility for paying
11 this administrative claim, to the extent it is allowed, rested
12 with either GM or the DIP lender acquisition vehicle, it would
13 appear to me, particularly given the balance of factors on
14 whether the delay was within Plymouth's control, that the lack
15 of prejudice to the estate would have argued for letting the
16 claim be filed late. (The fact that some party receives a
17 smaller distribution or another third party pays more money as
18 a result of a claim being allowed to be filed late is not
19 sufficient prejudice, it is not the type of prejudice that the
20 courts have in mind when they evaluate the prejudice factor
21 under Pioneer.)

22 However, here, I believe there is prejudice to the
23 estate. And also, again, some blame should be laid on Plymouth
24 for causing this prejudice by not filing the claim until after
25 the plan modification hearing. As represented by Mr. Butler,

1 who clearly was involved in the preparation for the plan
2 modification hearing and the debtors' efforts to determine
3 whether, in fact, the MDA would result in a feasible plan, the
4 calculation of likely administrative claims against a surviving
5 debtor entity was a key factor in moving forward with the
6 hearing on July 29th.

7 It's been stated that a demand number under the
8 counterclaim by Plymouth is approximately twenty million
9 dollars. That number would have had a significant impact on
10 the debtors' presentation of the modification of the plan on
11 July 29th and the Court's consideration of whether the plan is
12 feasible or was feasible, and would have, if asserted as a
13 recovery against the debtors -- the surviving debtors, as an
14 administrative claim it could have had a very significant
15 impact on feasibility. Consequently, it would appear to me
16 that although the delay was short, it was very significant, and
17 that both the debtors as well as the other parties to the MDA,
18 and ultimately the Court, moved ahead in reliance on that claim
19 not being asserted.

20 So, that prejudice, as well as my conclusion that the
21 lateness of the claim, first in terms of its being verbally
22 asserted only on July 16th and then actually formally asserted
23 after the plan modification hearing, was largely, if not
24 entirely, within the control of Plymouth, leads me to deny
25 Plymouth's motion.

1 Obviously, to the extent that it is asserting a right
2 to setoff or recoupment, the lateness of the claim should not
3 matter, so that what this ruling effectively does is preclude
4 Plymouth from an affirmative recovery against the debtor's
5 estate as opposed to, again, a recoupment or setoff right in
6 the Michigan and Massachusetts litigation.

7 So Mr. Butler, you can submit an order to that effect.

8 MR. BUTLER: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, the last matter on the agenda
11 for today, matter number 8, is a motion for authority to apply
12 the claims objection procedures to administrative expense
13 claims, filed at docket number 18715. Your Honor, by this
14 motion, what we're seeking to do is to use the claims
15 procedures that Your Honor is familiar with, that have been
16 running on a separate claims track for the last two and a half
17 years, to apply those to administrative claims. And I think it
18 goes without saying that the -- and I think Your Honor has
19 observed in the past, that the procedures that have been
20 adopted by the Court here back on December 7th of 2006 at
21 docket number 6089, have served the debtors well and have dealt
22 with an expeditious treatment of almost 17,000 proofs of claim,
23 and through some 34 omnibus claims objections that addressed
24 over 14,000 of those claims, and have resulted in the
25 disallowance or withdrawal of over 10,000 of those claims. So

EXHIBIT E

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Baker & Hostetler LLP	Marc Skapof	45 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10111
Ohio Bureau of Workers Compensation	Law Section Bankruptcy Unit	30 W Spring St	PO Box 15567	Columbus	OH	43215-0567
Ohio Bureau of Workers Compensation	Victoria D Garry Richard Cordray	Ohio Attorney Generals Office	1600 Carew Twr 441 Vine St	Cincinnati	OH	45202

EXHIBIT F

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Mackenzie Hughes LLP	Neil J Smith Esq	Counsel for Tal-Port Industries LLC	101 South Salina Street	Syracuse	NY	13066
Tal Port Industries LLC	Richard Montague	PO Box 1970		Jackson	MS	39215-1970
Tal Port Industries LLC	Ecology Tek	8 Industrial Rd		Prentiss	MS	39474
Tal Port Industries LLC	Seth C Little	PO Box 1970		Jackson	MS	39215-1970
Tal Port Industries LLC	Warren R Graham Esq	Davidoff Malito & Hutcher LLP	605 Third Ave	New York	NY	10158
Tal Port Industries LLC		2003 Gordon Ave	RMT CHG 04 01 04 X7567	Yazoo City	MS	39194
Tal Port Industries LLC		2003 Gordon Ave		Yazoo City	MS	39194
Tal Port Industries LLC		3 Parklane Blvd Ste 1220W		Dearborn	MI	48126
Tal Port Industries LLC		PO Box 1253		Prentiss	MS	39474-1253
Tal Port Industries LLC		PO Box 16089		Hattiesburg	MS	39404-6089
Tal Port LLC	Accounts Payable	PO Box 1253		Prentiss	MS	39474
Tal Port LLC		PO Box 1253		Prentiss	MS	39474-1253